SOI: <u>1.1/</u>	<u>TAS</u> DOI: <u>10.15</u>	<u>863/TAS</u>		LCORE TO A		
				QR – Issue	QI	R – Article
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Moroco	co) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350
impact ractor:	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
Impact Factor	ISI (Dubai, UAE	E) = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russ	ia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630



Published: 30.10.2019 http://T-Science.org





Eman Sami Majali Kufa University Reseacher, Iraq

Qassim Shamkhi AL-Khafaji Kufa University Prof. Dr., Department of physics, college of science, Iraq <u>qasimsh.alkhafaji@uokufa.edu.iq</u>

CALCULATION OF THE ENERGIES OF NEGATIVE ION OF (B⁻¹,C⁻¹AND N⁻¹) FOR GROUND AND EXCITED STATES

Abstract: It this research calculate atomic properties are very important to describe the dynamics in atomic systems energies for 1s,2s shells all like negative ions for ground state $(B^{-1}, C^{-1} and N^{-1})$ and excited state $(B^{-1}, C^{-1} and N^{-1})$.

In the research division is used technique for the analysis of the three-electron system, also all the examining atomic properties are normalized and the atomic units are used in the calculations and the results is obtained by using Mathcad 14 program.

Key words: Hartree- Fock, atomic units, atomic properties, Hamiltonian operator. *Language*: English

Citation: Majali, E. S., & AL-Khafaji, Q. S. (2019). Calculation of The Energies of Negative ion of (B⁻¹, C⁻¹ and N⁻¹) for Ground And Excited States. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, *10* (78), 536-544.

Soi: http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-10-78-96 Doi: croster https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2019.10.78.96 Scopus ASCC: 3107.

Introduction

The Schrödinger equation for atoms with more than one electron has not been solved analytically Approximate methods must be applied in order to obtain the wave functions or another physical attributes from quantum mechanical calculation[1].

The Hartree-Fock(HF) equations were first proposed by Fock in 1930.Since then, theHartree-Fock method has taken a central role in studies of atomic and molecular electronic structure The Hartree-Fock method(HF) is known to be successful in calculating properties of electron systems, in particular,the ground state properties of atoms. Based on a variational principle numerical and algebraic [2]. Numerical computational methods for atomic HF equations have been developed by Froese Fischer.The one-particle Green's function approach and related many-body methods have been extensively used to calculate ionization and electron attachment spectra of atoms and molecules [3]. 2. Theory

The wave function

$$\Psi(r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) \tag{1}$$

of any system is a mathematical expression which describes the system properties as position, momentum, energy, etc. In the uncorrelated wave function approximation, each particle is assumed to move in only the average field of all the other particles of the system. This kind uses approximation that called Hartree-Fock approximation (HF). In the correlated wave function approximation one can takes into account the correlation between all the particles of the system by using the configuration interaction approximation (CI). The wave function for the one– particle is defined as:

$$\Psi_{n \ell m_{\ell} m_{s}}(\overline{r}) = R_{n\ell}(r)Y_{\ell m_{\ell}}(\theta,\varphi)\sigma(s) \qquad (2)$$



	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
Impact Factor:	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 0.829	РИНЦ (Russia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

the radial factor $R_{n\ell}(r)$ is related to the distance of electron from the nucleus and depends on the n (principal quantum number) and ℓ (angular momentum quantum number) while the angular factor (spherical harmonic) $Y_{\ell m_{\ell}}(\theta, \varphi)$ supplies an angle dependence and depends on the ℓ and m_{ℓ} (magnetic quantum number) [2]. The Hartree-Fock (HF) atomic wave functions are independent particle-model approximations to non-relativistic Schrödinger equation for stationary states. The single determinant can be written as the ant symmetrized product of all occupied HF spin-orbital for atoms [4] :

Can be expressed of Slater determinant as follows:

For any atom or ion, the Hartree-fock spatial orbital may be written as:

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{J} c_i \chi_i \tag{4}$$

where c_i represents the constant coefficient yields from the SCF method and χ_i is the basis function as a standard normalized Slater-type orbital (STO's).

Many of the properties of an element depend on the energies of its electrons, that means in each moment, one can specify the energy of the an electron precisely, but not its location at a given instant. So in quantum mechanics when one wants determine the location one should talk about the probability of finding an electron in specific region from the space at given instant [5].

The one-electron radial density function $D(r_1)$ represents the probability density function of finding an electron at a distance between r_1 and $r_1 + dr_1$ from the coordinate origin defined as [6]:

$$D(r_1) = \int_0^\infty D(r_1, r_2) dr_2$$
 (5)

The radial electron-electron distribution function $f(r_{12})$, which describes the probability of locating two electrons separated by distance r_{12} from each other, was first introduced by Coulson and Neilson in

their study of electron correlation for He (^{1}S) in the ground state [7,8].

The pair distribution function can be written as [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r_{12}) &= \\ 8\pi^2 r_{12} \left[\int_0^{r_{12}} r_1 d r_1 \int_{r_1 - r_{12}}^{r_1 + r_{12}} \Gamma(r_1, r_2) r_2 dr_2 + \\ \int_{r_{12}}^{\infty} r_1 d r_1 \int_{r_{12} - r_1}^{r_{12} + r_1} \Gamma(r_1, r_2) r_2 dr_2 \right] \qquad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The one-electron expectation value $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ is determined by the expression [10]:

$$\langle r_1^n \rangle = \int_0^\infty D(r_1) r_1^n \, dr_1 \tag{7}$$

The inter-electron expectation values $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ is given by the relation [9]:

$$\langle r_{12}^n \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(r_{12}) r_{12}^n \, dr_{12}$$
 (8)

The virial theorem is a necessary condition for any stationary state. From the theorem, one is led to [11]:

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle T \rangle + \langle V \rangle \tag{9}$$

$$\langle E \rangle = -\langle T \rangle = \langle V \rangle /_2 \tag{10}$$

The expectation value of potential energy is proportional to the expectation values of $\langle r_1^{-1} \rangle$ and $\langle r_{12}^{-1} \rangle$ respectively, where [12]:

$$\langle V_{en} \rangle = -Z. \langle r_1^{-1} \rangle \tag{11}$$

$$\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \langle r_{12}^{-1} \rangle \tag{12}$$











	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA) $= 0.912$	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
Impact Factor:	ISI (Dubai, UAE	() = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ) $=$ 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

Table (1): The one-particle expectation values $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ where (n = -2.2) and standard deviation for studied systems of ground state.

D -1		1s	44.5388	4.67400	1	0.32593	0.14344	0.1929
D -	³ P	2s	1.82916	0.67435	1	2.11829	5.53631	1.02429
C-1		1s	65.2223	5.66396	1	0.26851	0.09727	0.15866
C.	^{4}S	2s	2.9671	0.85229	1	1.69124	3.52594	0.81586
N-1		1s	89.8484	6.65343	1	0.22827	0.7025	0.1347
IN 1	³ P	2s	4.48174	1.04297	1	1.38969	2.3752	0.66631

Table (2): The one-particle expectation values $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ where (n = -2..2) and standard deviation of studied systems for first excited state.

Ion	State	shell	$< r_1^{-2} >$	$< r_1^{-1} >$	< r _1 >	$< r_{1}^{1} >$	$< r_{1}^{2} >$	Δr_1
D -1	¹ D	1s	44.54565	4.6744	1	0.32585	0.14336	0.19281
B-1 D		2s	1.89039	0.68626	1	2.07658	5.29654	0.99215
C-1 2D	^{2}D	1s	65.23456	5.66451	1	0.26845	0.09727	0.15866
	D	2s	3.0362	0.8623	1	1.6699	3.4285	0.79995
N-1	¹ D	1s	89.86221	6.65385	1	0.22827	0.07025	0.13468
	2	2s	4.52354	1.04789	1	1. 38291	2.35021	0.66165

Table (3): The one-particle expectation values $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ where (n = -2..2) and standard deviation for studied systems of second excited state.

Ion	State	shell	$< r_1^{-2} >$	$< r_1^{-1} >$	< r _1 >	$< r_1^1 >$	$< r_{1}^{2} >$	Δr_1
D-1	¹ S	1s	44.54733	4.67381	1	0.32583	0.14333	0.19278
B-1 2		2s	1.92322	0.69137	1	2.06555	5.25012	0.99178
C-1 ² P	2 P	1s	65.24335	5.66407	1	0.26841	0.09717	0.15852
	1	2s	3.07992	0.86889	1	1.65681	3.37466	0.79349
N-1 ¹ S	19	1s	89.87177	6.65441	1	0.22824	0.07022	0.13464
	-5	2s	4.58086	1.05497	1	1.37306	2.31438	0.65505



	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
otom	ISI (Dubai, UAl	E) = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russ	sia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
ctor:	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Moroc	co) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

Impact Factor:

Τa	Table (4): The inter-particle expectation values $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ where $n = -22$ and standard deviation for studied systems for ground state.									
Ion	state	shell	$< r_{12}^{-2} >$	$< r_{12}^{-1} >$	$< r_{12}^0 >$	$< r_{12}^1 >$	$< r_{12}^2 >$	Δr_{12}		
D -1	D 1	1s	14.4021	2.89169	1	0.47662	0.28689	0.244		
D -	³ P	2s	0.3067	0.43249	1	3.02106	11.07267	1.39495		
C-1		1s	21.18226	3.50868	1	0.39256	0.19454	0.20109		
⁴ S	⁴ S	2s	0.48219	0.54144	1	2.41214	7.05184	1.11059		
N-1		1s	29.25978	4.12508	1	0.33365	0.14045	0.17081		
1N-1	³ P	2s	0.7143	0.65844	1	1.98112	4.75041	0.90862		

Table (5): The inter-particle expectation values $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ where n = -2..2 and standard deviation of first excited state for studied systems.

Ion	State	shell	$< r_{12}^{-2} >$	$< r_{12}^{-1} >$	$< r_{12}^0 >$	$< r_{12}^1 >$	$< r_{12}^2 >$	Δr_{12}
D.1	D-1 ¹ D	1s	14.40501	2.89199	1	0.47648	0.28671	0.24428
B-1 D	Ď	2s	0.31787	0.44063	1	2.9584	10.59318	1.35685
C-1 ² D	$^{2}\mathrm{D}$	1s	21.18648	3.50911	1	0.39246	0.19442	0.20099
	Ľ	2s	0.49346	0.54793	1	2.38025	6.85692	1.09147
\mathbf{N}^{1}	¹ D	1s	29.26267	4.12553	1	0.33366	0.14049	0.17077
IN ⁻¹	Ľ	2s	0.72091	0.66154	1	1.97108	4.70046	0.90295

Table (6): The inter-particle expectation values $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ where n = -2.2 and standard deviation of second excited state for studied systems.

Ion	State	shell	$< r_{12}^{-2} >$	$< r_{12}^{-1} >$	$< r_{12}^0 >$	$< r_{12}^1 >$	$< r_{12}^2 >$	Δr_{12}
B -1	^{1}S	1s	14.4083	2.8924	1	0.47646	0.28666	0.24423
Б		2s	0.32202	0.44324	1	2.94372	10.50036	1.35458
C-1	2 P	1s	21.18934	3.5094	1	0.39239	0.19434	0.20093
U-1	-	2s	0.5012	0.55231	1	2.36114	4.74941	1.08371
N-1 ¹ S	1s	29.26835	4.12603	1	0.33362	0.14045	0.17073	
	2s	0.7307	0.66608	1	1.95648	4.62876	0.89496	



Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) $= 4.9$	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0 .	829 РИНЦ (Rus	ssia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia) $= 0.4$	64 ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF = 1.	500 SJIF (Moro	cco) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350
JII [.] – I.	500 5311 (1010)	(0) = 3.007	UAJI (USA)	- 0.550

Table(7): The expectation values for all attraction, repulsion, and Hartree-Fock energies of ground state of 1s and 2s shells for studied								
Ion state		shell	$\langle V_{ee} \rangle$	$-\langle V_{en} \rangle$	$-\langle V \rangle$	$\langle T \rangle$	$-\langle E_{HF} \rangle$	
R -1		1s	2.89169	46.7400	43.84831	21.92416	21.92416	
D.	³ P	2s	0.43249	6.74350	6.31101	3.29036	3.29036	
C-1	⁴ S	1s	3.50868	67.96752	64.45884	32.22942	32.22942	
C		2s	0.54144	10.22748	9.6867	4.91359	4.91359	
N-1		1s	4.12508	93.14802	89.0231	44.5147	44.5147	
	³ P	2s	0.65844	14.6006	.13.9431	6.97157	6.97157	
Table(8):The expectation values for all attraction, repulsion, kinetic andHartree-Fockenergies of first excited state of 1s and 2s shellsfor								
Ion	State	shell	$\langle V_{ee} \rangle$	$-\langle V_{en} \rangle$	$-\langle V \rangle$	$\langle T \rangle$	$-\langle E_{HF} \rangle$	
D-1	¹ D	1s	2.89199	46.744	43.852	21.926	21.926	
B-1	D	2s	0.44063	6.8626	6.42197	3.21098	3.21098	
C-1	$^{2}\mathrm{D}$	1s	3.50911	67.9741	64.465	32.2325	32.2325	
	D	2s	0.54793	10.348	9.79967	4.89983	4.89983	
N-1	¹ D	1s	4.12553	93.154	89.028	44.514	44.514	
		2s	0.66154	14.670	14.008	7.0044	7.0044	

Table(9):The expectation values for all attraction, repulsion, kinetic and Hartree-Fock energies of second excited state of 1s and 2s shells for

Ion	State	shell	$\langle V_{ee} \rangle$	$-\langle V_{en} \rangle$	$-\langle V \rangle$	$\langle T \rangle$	$-\langle E_{HF} \rangle$
D 1	15	1s	2.8924	46.748	43.856	21.928	21.928
B-1	5	2s	0.44324	6.9137	6.47046	3.23523	3.23523
C 1	² P	1s	3.5094	67.978	64.469	32.235	32.235
C		2s	0.55231	10.427	10.979	5.4895	5.4895
27.1	¹ S	1s	4.12603	93.162	89.036	44.518	44.518
111-1		2s	0.66608	14.7696	14.104	7.0518	7.0518



	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
Impost Fostor	ISI (Dubai, UAE	() = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russi	a) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
impact ractor:	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocc	o) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

Noted from the above figures (1), (3) and (5) for1s of the ground and excited states respectively, figures (2), (4) and (6) for 2s of the ground and excited states respectively. Represents the relation between one-particle radial density distribution function D (r1) versus position (r_1) for studied system. From these figures it is observed that the maximum values of $D(r_1)$ increases as Z increases, while the locations of these peaks are contracted toward the nucleus where it is noted that the maximum probability density distribution function $D(r_1)$ for N⁻¹ is closer to the nucleus from $D(r_1)$ for B⁻¹. This difference occurs because the N⁻¹ ion Z=7 exerts a much stronger attractive force on electrons than does the B^{-1} ion (Z=5), it is also observed from these figures when the distance is equal to zero the probability of finding an electron equal to zero (when r = 0, $D(r_1) = 0$). This means that the electron is cannot be existed inside the nucleus and when the distance is far away the probability of finding an electron equal to Zero also (when $r = \infty$, $D(r_1) = 0$). this means that it is not possible of the electron to be existed outside the atom.

Noted from the above figures (7), (9) and (11) for 1s of the ground and excited states respectively, figures (8), (10) and (12) for 2s of the ground and excited states respectively.

The maximum value of the inter particle distribution function increases where **z** increases.

The maximum probability of the inter particle distribution function $f(r_{12})$ increases as atomic number increases because the influence of increasing in attraction nuclear force.

It was also observed from the figures when $r_{12} = 0$ the probability of the inter particle distribution function $f(r_{12}) = 0$, { when $r_{12} = 0$ $f(r_{12}) = 0$ }. This means that the electron not be closed on another electron and when the distance is far between two electrons the probability of the inter particle distribution function $f(r_{12})$ equal to zero also{ when $r = \infty$ $f(r_{12}) = 0$ }. that means the diameter is not found out the atom .

From tables(1), (2) and (3) for ground and excited states respectively, it is noted that the oneparticle expectation value $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ when n takes the values (-2,-1) the one-particle expectation value $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ increases where the atomic number Z increases, this is due to the attraction energy between the nucleus and electron increases. While when n takes the values (+1,+2) the one-expectation value $\langle r_1^n \rangle$ decreases by atomic number (Z) increases, where the $\langle r_1^{-1} \rangle$ which represents the attraction energy expectation value $\langle V_{\rm en} \rangle = -Z[\langle r_1^{-1} \rangle]$ and the $\langle r_1^1 \rangle$ represents the distance between the nucleus and electron. it is also noted from tables (4), (5) and (6) for ground and excited states respectively, when Z increases the interparticle expectation value $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ increases where $\langle r_{12}^{-1} \rangle$ represents repulsion energy between two-electrons. When n equal to zero the one-particle expectation value $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ and the inter-particle expectation value $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ for all the states equal to unity for all studied systems this represented the normalization condition.

From the Tables (7), (8) and (9) for ground state and for excited states respectively, we observed that the results of the expectation value of attraction energy $\langle V_{en} \rangle$ and expectation value of repulsion energy $\langle V_{ee} \rangle$ increases when atomic number increases because increasing in Z leads to decreasing in the distance between electrons with nucleus, as well as, the distance between two electrons, this product increasing in attraction energy and repulsion energy according to coulomb law.

From these the tables , it arises that the increasing in attraction energy is greater than that in the repulsion energy, so this leads to increase in expectation value of potential energy $\langle V \rangle$ when atomic number increases for each individual shell and for total system.

Above tables, it is noted the expectation values of kinetic energy $\langle T \rangle$ increases as z increases because of the increase in kinetic energy is the force of attraction of the nucleus of a electron that reduces the distance between the electron and the nucleus, maintain the stability of the atomic system increases the speed of rotation of the electron in orbit to increase the power of centrifugal and the following increase in its kinetic energy $\langle T \rangle$.

Expectation value of HF energy $\langle E_{HF} \rangle$ increases as atomic number increases, which indicates the relation between $\langle E_{HF} \rangle$ and Z because increasing in the potential energy and kinetic energy lead to increasing in the total energy of the system (HF energy).

Conclusions

1. When the atomic number Z increases, the oneparticle radial density distribution function $D(r_1)$ and the inter-particle distribution function $f(r_{12})$ are increased.

2.For both one-particle expectation $\langle r_1^n \rangle$, and inter-particle expectation $\langle r_{12}^n \rangle$ are increased when Z increase.

3. All the expectation values of the energies $\langle V_{en} \rangle$, $\langle V_{ee} \rangle$, $\langle V \rangle$, $\langle T \rangle$ and $\langle E_{HF} \rangle$ are increased when the atomic number increases.

4. The results of expectation values of all energies for 1s shell is larger than those in the 2s shells.



	ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
Impost Fostor	ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russia	a) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
impact ractor:	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.716	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco	o) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

References:

- 1. Evgeny, Z., et al. (2010). J. Computer physics Communications "S-state of Helium-like ions".
- 2. Shiro L. Saito, (2009). J.Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 95, pp.836-870.
- 3. Fischer, C.F. (1977). "the Hartree-Fock Method ForAtoms", Wiley, New York.
- Shamkhi, Q. (2005). ph. D. Thesis "A study of Correlation energy and orbital correction function for two and three electron-system" University of Al-Mustansiriyah, college of science.
- Fitzpatrick, R. (2011). " Quantum Mechanics", 3rd edition.
- Koga, T., & Matsuyama, H. (2006). J. Theor Chem Acc ,V.115, pp.59–64.
- 7. Dressel, P., & King, F. (1994). J. Chemical physics, V. 100, No. 10, pp.7515–7522.

- 8. Benesch, R., & Smith, V.H. (1971). J. Chemical Physics, V. 55, No. 2, pp.482-488.
- 9. Moiseyev, N., Katriel, J., & Boyd, R. (1977). J. Theoretica Chimica Acta, V. 45, pp.61-67.
- Gupta, A., & Boyd, R.J. (1978). J. Chemical physics, V. 68, No. 4, pp.1951–1957.
- Jaber, R., & Shamkhi, Q. (2014). "Study of Energy and some atomic properties for electronic shells at ground state of three electron systems by analysis Hartree-Fock- Roothaan wavefunction". J. of Kufa –Physics, V.5, No.1, pp.91-102.
- 12. Sen, K., & Reddy, V. (1984). J. Chemical Physics, V. 81, No. 5, pp.5213-5214.
- Clement, E., & Roetti, C. (1974). J.Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables Vol.14, No.3-4, 177-478.