ISRA (India) = 4.971 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829 GIF (Australia) = 0.564 JIF = 1.500 SIS (USA) = 0.912 РИНЦ (Russia) = 0.126 ESJI (KZ) = 8.997 SJIF (Morocco) = 5.667 ICV (Poland) = 6.630 PIF (India) = 1.940 IBI (India) = 4.260 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

QR – Issue

QR – Article

SOI: 1.1/TAS DOI: 10.15863/TAS
International Scientific Journal
Theoretical & Applied Science

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) **e-ISSN:** 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2020 **Issue:** 08 **Volume:** 88

Published: 30.08.2020 http://T-Science.org







Adiba Botir qizi Makhamadtoirova Tashkent State University of Oriental Studies Independent Researcher Department of Chinese philology

PROBLEM ANALYSIS IN MODERN CHINESE COMPARISON SENTENCES (跟, 越来越, 有, 比)

Abstract: In modern Chinese, there are many ways to express differences or similarities between things and events, situations and qualities, and also levels, to add they are mainly used to compare differences in high or low a situation. At the same time, it helps to make the speech stylistically brief and fluent. This article focuses on the analysis of errors and mistakes in comparative speech.

Key words: analogy, comparison, analogy, comparative degree, prefix, object of comparison, subject of comparison, abbreviation, omission, keyword.

Language: English

Citation: Makhamadtoirova, A. B. (2020). Problem analysis in modern Chinese comparison sentences (跟, 越来越, 有, 比). ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 08 (88), 137-142.

Soi: http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-08-88-26 Doi: crosses https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2020.08.88.26

Scopus ASCC: 1203.

Introduction

In comparative meaning context, subject, process, phenomenon and others are compared not for the purpose of analogy with the other, but for the purpose of distinguishing one from another. Thus, both of the subjects that are being compared will have the same character, feature. But this sign does not belong to the subjects that are being compared, with not exactly the same degree, but different degrees. Of course, the subjects which are being compared are opposed to each other by this diversity and the difference between subjects are shown in this way [2, p.238]. The words in the context of comparison are expressed in Chinese by the term 比较句 bǐjiào jù. If we look at the analysis of this word, 比较 bǐjiào compares $\Box j\hat{u}$ which gives meaning of the sentence. There is no equivalent of the words analogy in Chinese language, into Uzbek, all of which are called compression 比较句 bǐjiào jù.

Chinese is one of the most difficult languages in the world. That is why all the elements are so important in the process of learning Chinese. Grammar is the foundation of the language while learning the language. In the process of twodimensional translation into Chinese, we face a number of difficulties in the accurate and correct translation of the text. In hereto scientific article, we provide a structural and functional analysis of a number of problems that arise during the using of comparative constructions in Chinese.

THE MAIN FINDINGS AND RESULTS

[A 跟gēn B....一样yí yàng]

The constaructions [A 跟 $g\bar{e}n$ B....一样yi yang] is one of the constructions that represent the similarity of an object, event, or situation, and is used to express the equality of character, condition, quantity, or degree of two people or actions, and has the following form:

[A+跟*gēn*+B+一样/相同/不一样/不同+verb/adjective]. For example:

1. 我跟他一样高。

Wŏ gēn tā yíyàng gāo.

I am as tall as him.

2. 这本书跟那本书不一样。

Zhè běn shū gēn nà běn shū bù yīyàng.

This book is not the same as that book.

We shall evaluate some features using the prefix 跟 $g\bar{e}n$:



ISRA (India) **= 4.971** SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829**РИНЦ** (Russia) = 0.126PIF (India) = 1.940**= 8.997 = 4.260 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564ESJI (KZ) IBI (India) = 0.350= 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = 5.667OAJI (USA)

Firstly, in Chinese there are not models such as [比bǐ ...一样yīyàng] or [比bǐ ...不一样bù yīyàng]. There are only constructions like: [跟gēn /和hé/同tóng...一样yīyàng] or [跟gēn /和hé/同tóng...不一样bù yīyàng]. For example:

×我们国家的习惯比中国的不一样。

Wǒ men Guójiā de xíguàn bǐ Zhōngguó de bù yīyàng.

√我们国家的习惯跟中国的不一样。

Wǒ men Guójiā de xí guàn gēn Zhōngguó de bù yīyàng..

The traditions of our country are not the same as those in China.

Secondly, if the comparison is expressed with跟 $g\bar{e}n$, it is important to pay attention to the use of the auxiliary word的de [6, p.220]. For example:

×我买的书跟玛丽一样。

Wŏ măi de shū gēn Mălì yíyàng.

√我买的书跟玛丽的一样。

Wŏ măi de shū gēn Mălì de yíyàng.

The book I bought is the same as Mali's.

In the above sentence, is an object, and 玛丽 Măli is a word that describes a person, and the two cannot be compared. There fore, the particle 的 de joining the connection should be used after the word 玛丽 Măli, so that the 玛丽 Măli de, the meaning of 玛丽 的 书 Măli de $sh\bar{u}$, thus, becomes the book of Mali. Another example:

×我的词典跟他一样好用。

Wǒ de cí diǎn gēn tā yíyàng hǎo yòng.

√我的词典跟他的一样好用。

Wǒ de cí diăn gēn tā de yí yàng hǎo yòng.

My dictionary is more convenient than his.

In this sentence, as in the case above, the drop in 的 de leads to a change in meaning and shortcomings in its application.

Thirdly, 比bǐ and 一样yíyàng can never be used together. For example:

√这支钢笔跟那支(钢笔)一样。

Zhè zhī gāngbǐ gēn nà zhī (gāngbǐ) yíyang.

×这支钢笔<u>比</u>那支<u>一样</u>。

Zhè zhī gāngbǐ bǐ nà zhī yiyang.

This pen is the same as that pen.

Fourthly, there is no [有yǒu...一样yīyàng] construction in Chinese, which is grammatically incorrect. If such a mistake is made in a sentence, it will lead to a grammatically and stylistically incorrect sentence structure. Leads to the misunderstanding between listener and speaker.

[Subject+越来越*yuèláiyuè*+verb (adjective or verb)]

越来越yuèláiyuès refers to the continuous development and change of a person or object in recent times. 越来越yuèláiyuès is translated into Uzbek more and more, which means that the level of a situation increases or decreases compared to the

previous one [7, p.130]. The model of such statements is as follows: [Subject+越来越yuèláiyuè+verb (adjective or verb)]. For example:

她越来越漂亮了。

Tā yuèláiyuè piàoliang le.

She is getting more and more beautiful.

When we express the comparative degree using 越来越yuèláiyuè, the points to note are as follows:

<u>Firstly</u>, 越来越yuèláiyuè is not used before a simple verb in a sentence. For example:

×风越来越刮得大了。

Fēng yuè lái yuè guā dé dà le.

√风越来越大了。

Fēng yuèláiyuè dà le.

√风刮得越来越大了。

Fēngguā dé yuèláiyuè dà le.

The wind is getting stronger.

The predicate coming after 越来越yuèláiyuè should represent variability. It is usually supplemented by a verb that expresses a quality or an inner experience. For example, above sentence 越来越大yuèláiyuè dà inside 大dà [4, p.133].

<u>Secondly</u>, 越来越*yuèláiyuè* can not be used in the sentence before the subject. For example:

×越来越学习汉语的人多了。

Yuèláiyuè xuéxí hànyǔ de rén duō le .

√学习汉语的人越来越多了。

Xuéxí hànyǔ de rén yuèláiyuè duō le .

More and more people are learning Chinese.

<u>Thirdly</u>, the word expressing degree adverb can not be used after 越来越yuèláiyuè. For example:

×天气越来越很热了。

Tiānqì yuèláiyuè hĕn rè le.

√天气越来越热了。

Tiānqì yuèláiyuè rè le.

The weather is getting hotter and hotter.

越来越yuèláiyuè in recent changes denotes change itself along with the degree of change, after them degree adverbs 很 hen, 非常 fēicháng, 比较 bǐjiào can not be used. For example, the sentence "the weather is getting hotter" can not be translated in Chinese as following [6, p.206]. For instance:

×天气越来越非常热了。

Tiānqì yuèláiyu fēicháng rè le

×天气越来越比较热了。

Tiāngì yuèláiyuè bĭjiào rè le.

×天气越来越很热了。

Tiānqì yuèláiyu hen rè le.

The form of the constructions in the comparative context represented by越来越yuèláiyuè differs from the rest of the constructions in that, does not cause difficulties for the speaker with its grammatical features.

[A+有yŏu+ B +(那么/这么/那样/这样) +predicate]



ISRA (India) **= 4.971** SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829**РИНЦ** (Russia) = 0.126PIF (India) = 1.940**= 8.997 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564ESJI (KZ) IBI (India) =4.260= 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = 5.667OAJI (USA) = 0.350

The verb $f_1y\delta u$ is used to compare the characteristics, status, quantity, or degree of similarity of two persons or two different actions, in sentences comparing by $f_1y\delta u$, the verb $f_1y\delta u$ indicates that A has reached the level of B [10, p.159]. The form of such statements as follows:

[A+有yǒu+B+(那么/这么/那样/这样)+ predicate]. For example:

1. 这个房间有那个房间那么大。

Zhè ge fángjiān yǒu nàge fángjiān nàme dà.

This house is as big as that house.

2. 那条河有着调和这么。

Nà tiáo hé yŏu zhe tiáo hé zhème cháng.

That river is as long as this river.

When we use the verb有 $y\delta u$ in the sentences to make a comparison, we need to pay attention to the following.

<u>Firstly</u>, there is no construction [有yŏu ...一样yiyang] in Chinese. For example:

1.×我妹妹有我一样高。

Wŏ mèimèi yŏu wŏ yíyàng gāo.

I am the same height as him.

2.×这本书有那本书不一样。

Zhè běn shū yǒu nà běn shū bù yī yàng.

This book is not the same as that book.

Secondly, in the sentences that are compared by 有yǒu indicates that A has reached the level of B, usually 这么zhème, 这样zhè yang, 那么nàme yoki 那样nàyang are used after the verb 有yǒu, but 一样yí yang is never used. The construction [跟gēn...一样yíyàng] is one of the most stable constructions. For example:

×我妹妹有我一样高。

Wŏ mèimèi yŏu wŏ yíyàng gāo.

√我妹妹有我这么高。

Wǒ mèimèi yǒu wǒ zhème gāo.

My sister is as tall as me.

√我妹妹跟我一样高。

Wŏ mèimèi gēn wŏ yíyàng gāo.

My sister's height is the same as mine.

In some cases, we may come across the first examples of the above in an oral speech. There is no [有yǒu...一样yiyàng] construction in Chinese, which is grammatically incorrect. If such a mistake is made in a sentence, it will lead to a grammatically and stylistically incorrect sentence structure. Causes misunderstanding between listener and speaker.

[A+t+B+verb or adjective +(object)]

Semantically, in the main structure modifier takes place between the subject predicate and the

prefix ll bi acts as a modifier [6, p.250]. The structure of this type of sentence is as follows: [A+ll+ B+verb or adjective +(object)]. For example:

1. 他比她的弟弟聪明。

Tā bǐ tā dìdì cōngmíng.

He is clever than his brother.

2. 昨天比今天冷得多。

Zuótiān bǐ jīntiān lěngdé duō.

Yesterday was colder than today.

In order to prevent the mistakes while using prefix 比 in the sentences the followings should be paid attention:

1) The word 很 $h\check{e}n$ very can not be used in the sentences where degree of adverb 比 $b\check{t}$ is used.

×我弟弟比我很高。

Wŏ dìdì bĭ wŏ hĕngāo.

√我弟弟比我高得多。

Wŏ dìdì bĭ wŏ gāo deduō.

√我弟弟比我高多了。

Wŏ dìdì bĭ wŏ gāo duōle.

My brother is higher than me.

Besides, the adverbs illustrating the degrees, such as 非常 $f\bar{e}ich\acute{a}ng$, $极j\acute{\iota}$ can not be used in the sentences where the prefix比 $b\check{\iota}$ is used. If there is a big difference in the opinion then [比 $b\check{\iota}$ 得多 $dedu\bar{o}$] or [比 $b\check{\iota}$多了 $du\bar{o}le$] constructions can be used [4, p.122].

2) Quantity object can not be used in front of the adjective

×我弟弟比我一头高。

Wŏ dì dì bĭ wŏ yì tóu gāo.

√我弟弟比我高一头。

Wŏ dìdì bĭ wŏ gāo yìtóu.

He is a head higher than me.

Quantitative phrase in the above sentence 一头 yìtou as adjective 高 $g\bar{a}o$ is considered as quality object. The following rule s established in the Chinese language grammar: "If comparative degree is carried out with the prefix 比bi, the quantity phrase acts as a quantity ojbect and should be used after the adjective" [6, p.205]. The structure of this type of sentence is as follows:

[比+person/subject+adjective+ quantity word combinations]. For example:

1.×比他一岁小。

Bǐ tā yī suì xiǎo.

√比他小一岁。

Bǐ tā xiǎo yī suì.

He is one year younger than him.

2.×比那条马路三米宽。

Bǐ nàtiáo mălù sān mǐ kuān .

√比那条马路宽三米。

Bǐ nàtiáo mălù kuān sān mǐ .

It is three meters wider than the highway

3) Degree of adverbs can not be used in front of verbs. For example:



ISRA (India) **= 4.971** SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630**РИНЦ** (Russia) = **0.126** ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829PIF (India) = 1.940**= 8.997 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564ESJI (KZ) IBI (India) =4.260= 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = 5.667OAJI (USA) = 0.350

×今天我比玛丽早来。

Jīntiān wŏ bĭ Mălì zăo lái.

√今天我比玛丽来得早。

Jīntiān wŏ bĭ Mălì lái de zăo.

Today, I came earlier than Mali.

The above sentence compares who came earlier. 早zǎo, 来lái are considered degree objects. The following rule is established in the Chinese language grammar: if the comparative degree is carried out with the prefix 比bi, prefix 得de should be used in front of the degree object [6, p.226]. The structure of this type of statement is as follows: [比bi+person/subject+predicate+de+ degree of object] For example:

1.×比他快跑。

Bǐ tā kuài pǎo.

√比他跑得快。

Bǐ tā pǎo dé kuài.

He runs faster than him.

2.×比我好学。

Bĭ wŏ hào xué.

√比我学得好。

Bĭwŏ xué de hăo.

He reads better than me.

4) Degree and quantity objects can not be used subsequently. For example:

×我比玛丽来得早十分钟。

Wŏ bĭ Mălì lái de zăo shífēn zhōng.

√我比玛丽早来十分钟。

Wŏ bĭ Mălì zăo lái shífēn zhōng.

I came ten minutes earlier than Mali

The quantity object 十分钟shi fenzhong and来得早 $l\acute{a}i$ dé zǎo are used above sentence. The following rule is established in Chinese language: if comparison is used with prefx 比 $b\check{i}$, two objects can not be used in one sentence subsequently. In this situation the degree object 得 $d\acute{e}$ will be omitted, the adjective 早 $z\check{a}o$ makes condition, is used before the verb 来 $l\acute{a}i$ [7, p.30]. The structure of this type of statement is as follows: [比 $b\check{i}$ +person/predicate+adjective (condition maker)+verb-predicate + quantity object]. For example:

1.×比我来得晚半个小时。

Bĭ wŏ lái de wăn bàn gè xiǎoshí.

√比我晚半个小时。

Bǐ wŏ wănlái bàn gè xiǎoshí.

He came for half an hour later.

2.×比她学得多十个生词。

Bǐ tā xuédé duō shígè shēngcí.

√比她多学十个生词。

Bǐ tā duō xué shígè shēngcí.

He learnt more than ten words.

5) Negative adverb $\overline{\wedge}b\hat{u}$ can not be used before predicate. For example:

×我的汉语水平比玛丽的汉语水平不高。

Wǒ de hànyǔ shuǐpíng bǐ Mǎlì de hànyǔ shuǐpíng bù gāo.

√我的汉语水平不比玛丽的汉语水平高。

My level of Chinese is not higher than Mali's.

- 6) If comparison is carried out with the prefix $\not\vdash$ bǐ, negative adverb \not bù, should be used before the prefix $\not\vdash$ bǐ [9, p.154].
- 7) If there is a big difference between the opposing parties while comparing the sentences with prefixes比 $b\check{\imath}$, constructions [比 $b\check{\imath}$...得多 $dedu\bar{o}$] or [比 $b\check{\imath}$...多了 $du\bar{o}le$] can be used .But these two constructions can not be used together.

For example:

×我跑得比玛丽快得多了。

Wŏ păo dé bĭ mă lì kuài de duō le.

√我跑得比玛丽快得多。

Wŏ păodé bĭ Mălì kuài de duō.

√我跑得比玛丽快得多了。

Wŏ păo dé bĭ mă lì kuài duō le.

I run faster than Mali.

8) The age is expressed with *岁suì* not with 年 *nián*. For example:

1. √我比你大两岁, 他比你小一岁。

Wǒ bǐ nǐ dà liăng suì, tā bǐ nǐ xiǎo yí suì.

×我比你大两年,他比你小一年。

Wǒ bǐ nǐ dà liăng nián, tā bǐ nǐ xiǎo yì nián.

I am two years older than you. He is younger than you.

2. √王伯母比王伯父小三岁。

Wáng bó mữ bĩ wáng bó fù xiảo sān suì.

×王伯母比王伯父小三年。

Wáng bó mữ bǐ wáng bó fù xiǎo sān nián.

The aunt Vang is three years younger than uncle Vang.

9) If the same subjects is compared and changed in two different time samples the word illustrating time is used after the prefix比bi. For example:

1. 这孩子的身体比以前好得多。

Zhè hái zi de shēn tǐ bǐ yǐqiánhǎo dé duō.

The child'e health is much better than before.

2. 他的身体比三年前强壮得多。

 $T\bar{a}$ de shēntǐ bǐ sānnián qián qiáng zhuàng dé duō.

Her health is much better now than it was three years ago.

In this case it is not possible to use words denoting time before the prefix $\not\vdash \!\!\! \bot b \check{\imath}$.

10) If both subjects and predicates are not the same, in this case, abbreviation can not be used. For instance:

你吃盐比他吃米多。

Nǐ chī yán bǐtā chīmǐ duō.

You eat more salt than rice.

Since rice or salt are different here, omitting one will affect the meaning of the sentence [8, p.143].

[-yi + amount word + ! bi + number - yi + amount word]

The construction comes as a function of the condition in the sentence, indicating that the difference in level is gradually changing. It is



ISRA (India) **= 4.971** SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829**РИНЦ** (Russia) = 0.126PIF (India) = 1.940**= 8.997 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564ESJI (KZ) IBI (India) =4.260= 0.350= 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = 5.667OAJI (USA)

impossible to use *prefixes* 一天比一天yìtiān bǐ yìtiān, 一年比一年yìnián bǐ yìnián, 一次比一次yícì bǐ yícì in front of the subject. For example:

1.√天气一天比一天凉快了。

Tiānqì yìtiān bǐ yìtiān liángkuài le.

×一天比一天天气凉快了。

Yìtiān bǐ vìtiān tiāngì liángkuài le.

The weather is getting colder day by day.

2. √人民的生活一年比一年丰富.

Rénmín de shēnghuó yìnián bǐ yìnián fēngfü. ×一年比一年人民的生活丰富.

Yì nián bǐ yì nián rén mín de shēng huó fēng fù. The population is getting richer year by year.

The negative forms of the sentences with the prefix 比bǐ is 不比bùbǐ "The previous one is not equal to the next one...." thus "it means that "A is not as same as B". But I the translation process it is impossible to say that "B is as A...". Thus it is impossible to say "the latter is relate to next [10, p.128]. For example: 今天不比昨天冷 jīntān bùbǐ zuótiān lěng it is translated like today is not as cold as yesterday, that means today is hot. If it is translated as yesterday was not colder than today, it meant that yesterday was hot and today is cold, leads to the change of the meaning.

We can express the negative form of statements in the context of comparison in different ways. But in the process of translating, one should not confuse them with each other. For example: "He is not as tall as mel" 他不比我高tā bùbǐ wǒ gāo" the negative form of the sentence can be made in different forms:

他跟我差不多高。*Tā gēn wǒ chàbuduō gāo*. 他跟我不一样高。*Tā gēn wǒ bù yíyàng gāo*. 我比她高一点儿。*Wǒ bǐ tā gāo yìdiánér*. 她比我高一点儿。*Tā bǐ wǒ gāo yìdiánér*.

However, each sentence does not mean the same. In such sentences $\sqrt{b}\hat{u}$ can not be used before predicate. For example:

- × 她比我不高。 Tā bǐ wǒ bù gāo.
- × 今天比昨天不暖。Jīn tiān bǐ zuó tiān bù

Along with the negative form of 比*bǐ and* 不比 *bùbǐ* there are also negative form of 没有*méiyǒu* [A 不比B] construction is a negative form of comparative sentences, they must not be mixed with the forms of A [没有 *méiyǒu* B] (A B are not the same) or [A 不如 B] (A is not equal to B) They also have different meanings [10, p.169]. For instance:

1. 这件衣服不比那件衣服长.

Zhè jiàn yīfú bùbǐ nà jiàn yīfú zhăng.

It can not be said that this dress is longer than that one

2. 这件衣服没有那件衣服长.

Zhè jiàn yīfú méiyŏu nà jiàn yīfú zhăng.

This dress is not as long as that one

3. 这件衣服不如那件衣服长.

Zhè jiàn yīfú bùrú nà jiàn yīfú zhăng. Your dress is not as long as that one

If any situation or event compared through 不如 $bùr\acute{u}$, the degree difference of particular side of A and B are shown. The structure of such statements has the following construction: [subject+不如+ person+predicate+ object+repeated verbs+得+ degree objects]. Below we will look at their correct and incorrect forms:

1.×我不如他打排球好。

Wŏ bùrú tā dăpáiqiú hǎo.

√我不如他打排球打得好。

Wŏ bùrú tā dăpáiqiú dă de hǎo.

I can't play volleyball as well as he does.

2.×我不如玛丽写汉字快。

Wŏ bù rú Mălì xiĕ hàn zì kuài.

√我不如玛丽写汉字写得快。

Wŏ bùrú Mălì xiĕ hànzì xiĕ dé kuài.

My hieroglyphic writing is not as fast as Mali's.

CONCLUSION

The results of the article analysis came to the following conclusions:

- In the context of comparison, one object, process, or event is compared in order to distinguish one from another, not to compare it with another. In this case, both objects being compared have the same characteristics. However, this sign does not apply to the objects being compared to the same degree, but to different degrees.
- [比va 一样] never forms single constructions. There are not models such as [A比B....一样] or [比不一样] in Chinese. There are only constructions like [跟/和/同....一样] or [跟/和/同...不一样]. There is no [有...一样] construction in Chinese.
- If the comparison is made with the verb有, after the verb 有, usually 这么, 这样, 那么 or 那样 are used, but 一样 is not used. When compared by the construction [A跟B 一样], the omission of the auxiliary word 的 *de c*an lead to a change in meaning and shortcomings in its use.
- 越来越 is not used before a simple verb in a sentence. The degree adverbs 很, 非常, 比较 can not be used after 越来越 the constructions.
- [—+count word+比+number—+count word] comes as a function of the modifier and means that the difference in level is gradually changing. It is not used before the subject.



ISRA (India)	= 4.971	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 0.829	РИНЦ (Russ	ia) = 0.126	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.997	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Moroco	(co) = 5.667	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

- If the comparision is done with the presence of the prefix 比, it is not possible to use two objects at the same time in the same sentence. The prefix 比 is used to compare two people, the age is expressed with 岁, but not with 年. The differences of age is expressed with 大小verbs.
- If the words before and after the prefix 比 are similar, usually the next part of 比 omitted. It does not affect on the content. But if both subject and predicate are different, then the abbreviation method can not be used.
- It is not possible to use adverbs like 很, 非常, 极 which means very in比 prefix participated sentences If there is a big difference, then it can be

- expressed as "比...得多" or "比...多了". But these two constructions can not be used together.
- If the comparison is carried out with prefix $\not\vdash$, the negative adverb \not should be used before the prefix $\not\vdash$ t. But, it should never be used before predicate.
- There are also negative forms of 不如along with negative structure 没有,the construction of [A 不比B] is a form of negation of statements in the context of comparison. [A没有B] (is not like A B or [A 不如B] (not equal to A B) should not be confused with forms. They have different meanings in the context.

References:

- 1. Abdurahmonov, G.A., Shoabdurahmonov, Sh.Sh., & Hojiev, A.P. (1976). *Uzbek grammar*. *II. Syntax*. (In Uzbek) (p.450). Tashkent: Fan.
- 2. Tursunov, U., Mukhtorov, J., & Rahmatullayev, Sh. (1992). *Modern Uzbek literary language Tashkent:* (In Uzbek). (p.397). Tashkent: Uzbekistan.
- 3. Gorelov, V.I. (1982). *Grammar of the Chinese language*. (In Russian). (p.278). Moscow: Enlightenment.
- 4. Liu Yuehua waiting (2001). *Practical Modern Chinese Grammar*. (In Chinese). (p.840). Beijing: Commercial Press.
- 5. Li, D., & Cheng, M. (2008) *Practical Chinese for Foreigners*. (In Chinese). (p.652). Beijing: Beijing Language and Culture University Press.

- 6. Dai, X., & Zhang, R. (1999). *300 Practical Chinese Grammar*. (In Chinese). (p.240). Beijing: New World Publishing.
- 7. Ding, S. (1996). *Modern Chinese Grammar Speech*. (In Chinese). (p.120). Beijing: Commercial Press.
- 8. Liu, H. (2013). A Syntactic Analysis of the Bi Comparative Construction. (In Chinese). (p.178). Sichuan: Sichuan International Studies University Master Degree Thesis.
- 9. Ma, Z. (1986). A Tentative Study of the Substitution Rule of Comparison Items of Bibi Sentences. Chinese Language. (p.169). (In Chinese).
- 10. Shang, P. (2006). A Review of Comparative Sentence System Research. Language and Character Applications. (p.135). (In Chinese).

