

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939  
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
 PIF (India) = 1.940  
 IBI (India) = 4.260  
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

SOI: [1.1/TAS](#) DOI: [10.15863/TAS](#)

International Scientific Journal  
**Theoretical & Applied Science**

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2022 Issue: 10 Volume: 114

Published: 25.10.2022 <http://T-Science.org>

Issue

Article



**Denis Chemezov**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 M.Sc.Eng., Corresponding Member of International Academy of  
 Theoretical and Applied Sciences, Lecturer, Russian Federation  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2747-552X>  
[vic-science@yandex.ru](mailto:vic-science@yandex.ru)

**Aleksandr Popov**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 Student, Russian Federation

**Anton Ilin**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 Student, Russian Federation

**Grigoriy Lushin**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 Student, Russian Federation

**Sergey Lukashov**

Vladimir State University named after Alexander & Nikolay Stoletovs  
 Institute of Mechanical Engineering & Automobile Transport  
 Student, Russian Federation

**Mikhail Chebotaryov**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 Student, Russian Federation

**Ilya Yakovlev**

Vladimir Industrial College  
 Student, Russian Federation

**REFERENCE DATA OF PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ON THE SURFACES OF AIRFOILS HAVING THE NAMES BEGINNING WITH THE LETTER M**

**Abstract:** The results of the computer calculation of air flow around the airfoils having the names beginning with the letter M are presented in the article. The contours of pressure distribution on the surfaces of the airfoils at the angles of attack of 0, 15 and -15 degrees in conditions of the subsonic airplane flight speed were obtained.

**Key words:** the airfoil, the angle of attack, pressure, the surface.

**Language:** English

**Citation:** Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter M. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (114), 307-392.

**Soi:** <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-10-114-44> **Doi:**  <https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2022.10.114.44>

**Scopus ASCC:** 1507.

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939  
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
 PIF (India) = 1.940  
 IBI (India) = 4.260  
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

### Introduction

Creating reference materials that determine the most accurate pressure distribution on the airfoil surfaces is an actual task of the airplane aerodynamics.

### Materials and methods

The study of air flow around the airfoils was carried out in a two-dimensional formulation by means of the computer calculation in the *Comsol Multiphysics* program. The airfoils in the cross section were taken as objects of research [1-28]. In this work,

the airfoils having the names beginning with the letter *M* were adopted. Air flow around the airfoils was carried out at the angles of attack ( $\alpha$ ) of 0, 15 and -15 degrees. Flight speed of the airplane in each case was subsonic. The airplane flight in the atmosphere was carried out under normal weather conditions. The geometric characteristics of the studied airfoils are presented in the Table 1. The geometric shapes of the airfoils in the cross section are presented in the Table 2.

**Table 1. The geometric characteristics of the airfoils.**

Airfoil name	Max. thickness	Max. camber	Leading edge radius	Trailing edge thickness
<i>M06-13-1</i>	12.84% at 33.2% of the chord	5.16% at 33.2% of the chord	0.982%	0.015%
<i>M3 - HB396</i>	9.59% at 31.0% of the chord	2.98% at 47.0% of the chord	0.4853%	0.0%
<i>M6 (65%)</i>	7.81% at 30.0% of the chord	1.44% at 30.0% of the chord	0.4573%	0.0%
<i>M6 (85%)</i>	10.21% at 30.0% of the chord	1.88% at 30.0% of the chord	0.9315%	0.0%
<i>MA409 (original)</i>	6.79% at 25.0% of the chord	4.31% at 40.0% of the chord	0.6961%	0.07%
<i>MA409 (smoothed)</i>	6.69% at 23.8% of the chord	3.33% at 49.3% of the chord	0.4323%	0.07%
<i>Marquardt</i>	11.53% at 20.0% of the chord	7.05% at 40.0% of the chord	1.923%	0.0%
<i>Marsden</i>	27.88% at 31.6% of the chord	9.61% at 34.5% of the chord	7.7426%	0.0%
<i>MARSKE MONARCH</i>	12.22% at 20.0% of the chord	3.38% at 15.0% of the chord	1.9108%	0.0%
<i>MARSKE PIONEER IA</i>	12.05% at 25.0% of the chord	2.69% at 15.0% of the chord	1.7183%	0.0%
<i>MARSKE PIONEER IID ROOT</i>	12.06% at 30.0% of the chord	2.76% at 15.0% of the chord	1.5706%	0.0%
<i>MARSKE PIONEER IID TIP</i>	10.19% at 20.0% of the chord	2.82% at 15.0% of the chord	1.4576%	0.0%
<i>MARSKE XM-1D</i>	13.99% at 24.9% of the chord	3.03% at 24.9% of the chord	2.357%	0.0%
<i>Martin M 1</i>	8.8% at 30.0% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.8135%	0.0%
<i>MATWIES6</i>	6.0% at 20.0% of the chord	8.3% at 40.0% of the chord	2.7985%	0.3%
<i>MB253515</i>	14.96% at 35.0% of the chord	2.43% at 37.5% of the chord	1.42%	0.0%
<i>MB253515 15,0% smoothed</i>	14.96% at 35.0% of the chord	2.43% at 37.5% of the chord	1.42%	0.0%
<i>MB303515</i>	14.96% at 35.0% of the chord	2.98% at 35.0% of the chord	1.65%	0.38%
<i>mb7136</i>	7.04% at 26.1% of the chord	1.22% at 38.4% of the chord	0.4918%	0.048%
<i>mb714</i>	7.0% at 26.1% of the chord	1.45% at 38.4% of the chord	0.5224%	0.0477%

**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**      **SIS (USA) = 0.912**      **ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**      **ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939**      **PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**      **ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**      **IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**JIF = 1.500**      **SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**      **OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

<i>mc813</i>	8.0% at 25.8% of the chord	1.35% at 39.4% of the chord	0.4826%	0.0482%
<i>md8135</i>	8.01% at 28.8% of the chord	1.37% at 38.5% of the chord	0.4021%	0.047%
<i>md814</i>	8.0% at 26.3% of the chord	1.45% at 38.5% of the chord	0.4461%	0.0464%
<i>MEG 59</i>	10.95% at 30.0% of the chord	4.69% at 50.0% of the chord	1.1117%	0.0%
<i>MEG 62-63137</i>	13.68% at 30.0% of the chord	5.86% at 50.0% of the chord	1.5737%	0.0%
<i>MEG 64</i>	7.91% at 40.0% of the chord	2.55% at 20.0% of the chord	0.5884%	0.0%
<i>MEG 66</i>	9.71% at 40.0% of the chord	3.11% at 40.0% of the chord	0.5686%	0.0%
<i>MEG 69-012</i>	11.92% at 40.0% of the chord	0.05% at 70.0% of the chord	1.5272%	0.0%
<i>MEG-197</i>	10.0% at 30.0% of the chord	4.41% at 50.0% of the chord	0.9064%	0.0%
<i>MG 08</i>	8.67% at 30.2% of the chord	2.0% at 35.2% of the chord	0.5949%	0.0%
<i>MG05</i>	9.0% at 26.7% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	0.4237%	0.0%
<i>MG06</i>	7.37% at 22.4% of the chord	1.94% at 31.8% of the chord	0.4307%	0.0%
<i>MH 102</i>	17.0% at 27.7% of the chord	2.9% at 37.6% of the chord	2.006%	0.0%
<i>MH 104</i>	15.24% at 26.4% of the chord	1.92% at 31.0% of the chord	1.2786%	0.0%
<i>MH 106</i>	13.08% at 27.3% of the chord	0.92% at 27.3% of the chord	1.0054%	0.0%
<i>MH 108</i>	11.97% at 22.8% of the chord	1.05% at 18.7% of the chord	1.0607%	0.0%
<i>MH 110</i>	10.02% at 23.9% of the chord	1.07% at 15.8% of the chord	0.7333%	0.0%
<i>MH 112</i>	16.23% at 26.9% of the chord	7.16% at 48.8% of the chord	2.8472%	0.0%
<i>MH 113</i>	14.63% at 27.5% of the chord	6.86% at 49.4% of the chord	1.7997%	0.0%
<i>MH 114</i>	13.04% at 28.1% of the chord	6.51% at 50.0% of the chord	1.1733%	0.0%
<i>MH 115</i>	11.07% at 29.8% of the chord	5.51% at 46.0% of the chord	1.1499%	0.0%
<i>MH 116</i>	9.85% at 32.4% of the chord	4.03% at 48.5% of the chord	0.7086%	0.0%
<i>MH 117</i>	9.81% at 29.1% of the chord	2.69% at 44.6% of the chord	0.7948%	0.0%
<i>MH 18</i>	11.12% at 36.8% of the chord	2.77% at 36.8% of the chord	0.6678%	0.0%
<i>MH 18 11,14%</i>	11.12% at 36.8% of the chord	2.77% at 36.8% of the chord	0.6678%	0.0%
<i>MH 18B</i>	11.73% at 39.6% of the chord	1.95% at 39.6% of the chord	0.7392%	0.0%
<i>MH 20</i>	9.01% at 32.2% of the chord	2.0% at 32.3% of the chord	0.6162%	0.0%
<i>MH 20 9,02%</i>	9.01% at 32.2% of the chord	2.0% at 37.3% of the chord	0.6162%	0.0%
<i>MH 22</i>	7.2% at 27.0% of the chord	1.77% at 37.0% of the chord	0.5245%	0.0%

**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

<i>MH 22 7,21%</i>	7.2% at 27.0% of the chord	1.77% at 37.0% of the chord	0.5245%	0.0%
<i>MH 22-Mod,3</i>	8.31% at 23.7% of the chord	1.6% at 27.9% of the chord	0.629%	0.0%
<i>MH 23</i>	8.0% at 37.5% of the chord	1.24% at 37.5% of the chord	0.5601%	0.0%
<i>MH 24</i>	9.0% at 37.2% of the chord	1.27% at 37.2% of the chord	0.5988%	0.0%
<i>MH 25</i>	9.97% at 42.2% of the chord	1.42% at 37.1% of the chord	0.6179%	0.0%
<i>MH 26</i>	10.98% at 42.3% of the chord	1.47% at 42.3% of the chord	0.6642%	0.0%
<i>MH 27</i>	11.98% at 42.4% of the chord	1.46% at 42.4% of the chord	0.7217%	0.0%
<i>MH 30</i>	7.82% at 31.0% of the chord	1.71% at 46.4% of the chord	0.3675%	0.0%
<i>MH 31</i>	7.98% at 26.9% of the chord	1.16% at 36.7% of the chord	0.4065%	0.0%
<i>MH 32</i>	8.71% at 30.2% of the chord	2.36% at 40.4% of the chord	0.5978%	0.0%
<i>MH 33</i>	7.25% at 26.9% of the chord	1.09% at 41.8% of the chord	0.2066%	0.0%
<i>MH 34</i>	8.5% at 31.7% of the chord	1.12% at 41.8% of the chord	0.2864%	0.0%
<i>MH 42</i>	9.02% at 30.9% of the chord	2.09% at 35.9% of the chord	0.4615%	0.0%
<i>MH 42 8,94%</i>	8.91% at 31.3% of the chord	1.84% at 36.3% of the chord	0.6285%	0.0%
<i>MH 43</i>	8.48% at 31.4% of the chord	1.72% at 36.4% of the chord	0.4127%	0.0%
<i>MH 43 8,5%</i>	8.48% at 31.4% of the chord	1.72% at 36.4% of the chord	0.6073%	0.0%
<i>MH 44</i>	9.66% at 27.1% of the chord	1.48% at 36.9% of the chord	0.7889%	0.0%
<i>MH 45</i>	9.84% at 26.9% of the chord	1.64% at 36.6% of the chord	0.6074%	0.0%
<i>MH 46</i>	11.34% at 27.2% of the chord	1.86% at 37.0% of the chord	1.0004%	0.0%
<i>MH 49</i>	10.49% at 28.8% of the chord	0.7% at 33.6% of the chord	0.7512%	0.0%
<i>MH 60</i>	10.07% at 26.9% of the chord	1.76% at 36.6% of the chord	0.5939%	0.0%
<i>MH 60 10,08%</i>	10.07% at 26.9% of the chord	1.76% at 36.6% of the chord	0.7573%	0.0%
<i>MH 61</i>	10.26% at 27.6% of the chord	1.47% at 37.3% of the chord	0.5093%	0.0%
<i>MH 61 10,28%</i>	10.26% at 27.6% of the chord	1.47% at 37.3% of the chord	0.6511%	0.0%
<i>MH 62</i>	9.29% at 26.9% of the chord	1.59% at 36.6% of the chord	0.5424%	0.0%
<i>MH 62 9,3%</i>	9.29% at 26.9% of the chord	1.59% at 36.6% of the chord	0.691%	0.0%
<i>MH 64</i>	8.6% at 26.9% of the chord	1.44% at 36.7% of the chord	0.4691%	0.0%
<i>MH 78</i>	14.43% at 22.1% of the chord	2.63% at 17.9% of the chord	2.2038%	0.0%
<i>MH 91</i>	15.0% at 27.2% of the chord	1.62% at 14.9% of the chord	1.5419%	0.0%



**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

<i>MH 92</i>	15.5% at 27.4% of the chord	1.62% at 15.0% of the chord	1.6058%	0.0%
<i>MH 93</i>	15.99% at 27.5% of the chord	1.61% at 15.1% of the chord	2.2627%	0.0%
<i>MH32 (8,71%)</i>	8.71% at 30.2% of the chord	2.36% at 40.4% of the chord	0.4025%	0.0%
<i>MH45</i>	9.84% at 26.9% of the chord	1.64% at 36.6% of the chord	0.6074%	0.0%
<i>mhmi2</i>	9.31% at 23.7% of the chord	2.4% at 27.2% of the chord	0.8483%	0.0001%
<i>mhmi3</i>	9.59% at 25.1% of the chord	2.03% at 30.5% of the chord	0.9763%	0.0005%
<i>MILEY M06-13-128</i>	12.84% at 33.2% of the chord	5.16% at 33.2% of the chord	0.6521%	0.0%
<i>MIRAGE</i>	12.16% at 30.0% of the chord	2.97% at 30.0% of the chord	1.1514%	0.4%
<i>Miser</i>	9.0% at 30.0% of the chord	6.0% at 40.0% of the chord	0.8044%	0.0%
<i>Misto 50-50 S1046-S8035</i>	15.48% at 30.8% of the chord	0.0% at 0.0% of the chord	1.4846%	0.0%
<i>mjp711f-3</i>	7.0% at 28.1% of the chord	1.33% at 100.0% of the chord	0.3588%	0.0421%
<i>mjp712</i>	7.0% at 28.1% of the chord	1.19% at 31.7% of the chord	0.3571%	0.0427%
<i>mjz 1211</i>	12.0% at 28.6% of the chord	1.11% at 25.4% of the chord	1.215%	0.0729%
<i>MM 007</i>	7.0% at 28.3% of the chord	0.06% at 0.0% of the chord	0.3153%	0.0%
<i>MM 008</i>	8.0% at 28.3% of the chord	0.01% at 100.0% of the chord	0.3975%	0.0%
<i>MM 009</i>	9.01% at 27.4% of the chord	0.01% at 100.0% of the chord	0.5503%	0.0%
<i>MM 010</i>	10.0% at 27.5% of the chord	0.01% at 100.0% of the chord	0.6236%	0.0%
<i>MM 012</i>	12.0% at 29.5% of the chord	0.09% at 0.0% of the chord	0.743%	0.0%
<i>MM 1,75-10</i>	9.9% at 30.3% of the chord	1.75% at 30.3% of the chord	0.1442%	0.0%
<i>MM 1,75-9</i>	9.0% at 30.3% of the chord	1.75% at 30.3% of the chord	0.1276%	0.0%
<i>MM 100</i>	8.76% at 28.4% of the chord	2.12% at 39.8% of the chord	0.4966%	0.497%
<i>MM 1010a</i>	10.07% at 32.6% of the chord	0.99% at 40.8% of the chord	0.5668%	0.0%
<i>MM 1010b</i>	10.0% at 34.3% of the chord	1.0% at 37.5% of the chord	0.4879%	0.0%
<i>MM 1100</i>	11.0% at 34.5% of the chord	2.01% at 40.6% of the chord	0.3457%	0.0%
<i>MM 11-29</i>	11.0% at 28.3% of the chord	0.1% at 0.0% of the chord	0.5832%	0.0%
<i>MM 1200</i>	12.0% at 34.5% of the chord	2.01% at 40.6% of the chord	0.4572%	0.0%
<i>MM 1300</i>	13.0% at 35.1% of the chord	2.5% at 43.1% of the chord	0.8675%	0.0%
<i>MM 1407</i>	6.99% at 28.5% of the chord	1.45% at 38.0% of the chord	0.345%	0.0%
<i>MM 1608</i>	7.97% at 29.7% of the chord	1.61% at 37.6% of the chord	0.4272%	0.0%

**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

<i>MM 1609</i>	9.8% at 29.4% of the chord	1.67% at 29.4% of the chord	1.0429%	0.0003%
<i>MM 1710</i>	10.74% at 28.1% of the chord	1.67% at 35.2% of the chord	0.9755%	0.0001%
<i>MM 1711</i>	11.12% at 30.5% of the chord	1.72% at 32.2% of the chord	0.9869%	0.0005%
<i>MM 1809</i>	9.2% at 27.7% of the chord	1.8% at 34.9% of the chord	0.9012%	0.0004%
<i>MM 1810</i>	10.35% at 30.1% of the chord	1.8% at 36.7% of the chord	0.6071%	0.5%
<i>MM 1811b</i>	11.0% at 30.3% of the chord	1.79% at 35.2% of the chord	0.671%	0.0232%
<i>MM 1910</i>	10.35% at 33.3% of the chord	1.94% at 36.5% of the chord	0.5014%	0.0%
<i>MM 1995</i>	9.6% at 30.3% of the chord	1.93% at 36.8% of the chord	0.4921%	0.0003%
<i>MM 200</i>	9.44% at 28.2% of the chord	2.14% at 40.2% of the chord	0.7986%	0.0%
<i>MM 2-10 a</i>	9.91% at 30.0% of the chord	2.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.159%	0.0%
<i>MM 2-12</i>	11.89% at 30.0% of the chord	2.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.2116%	0.0%
<i>MM 2-9</i>	9.01% at 30.0% of the chord	2.0% at 30.0% of the chord	0.1405%	0.0%
<i>MM 300</i>	9.8% at 30.2% of the chord	1.7% at 36.7% of the chord	0.4676%	0.0%
<i>MM 400</i>	10.2% at 28.6% of the chord	2.2% at 40.5% of the chord	0.4601%	0.0%
<i>Mosca 317</i>	10.2% at 30.0% of the chord	5.63% at 10.0% of the chord	1.7382%	0.0%
<i>MRC-16</i>	13.9% at 34.5% of the chord	3.12% at 38.5% of the chord	1.1618%	0.0745%
<i>MRC-20</i>	15.58% at 38.8% of the chord	2.93% at 46.7% of the chord	1.3632%	0.05%
<i>ms1,9-8,7</i>	8.78% at 30.0% of the chord	1.97% at 40.0% of the chord	0.4048%	0.0%
<i>ms2-9,5</i>	9.5% at 30.0% of the chord	2.02% at 40.0% of the chord	0.4745%	0.0%
<i>MS3,3-11GP</i>	11.0% at 30.7% of the chord	3.28% at 38.2% of the chord	0.6348%	0.0%
<i>MS3,3-11GPT</i>	11.0% at 30.7% of the chord	3.28% at 32.6% of the chord	0.5725%	0.0%
<i>MS3,3-15GP</i>	15.0% at 30.7% of the chord	3.28% at 38.2% of the chord	1.2257%	0.0%
<i>msa812</i>	8.0% at 26.4% of the chord	1.22% at 33.8% of the chord	0.5487%	0.0996%
<i>MT172</i>	10.0% at 33.1% of the chord	3.03% at 39.7% of the chord	0.3082%	0.277%
<i>MT722</i>	26.27% at 30.0% of the chord	7.97% at 40.0% of the chord	2.6492%	0.728%
<i>MVA-101M</i>	7.9% at 30.0% of the chord	3.95% at 30.0% of the chord	0.63%	0.0%
<i>MVA-123</i>	5.3% at 15.0% of the chord	6.55% at 40.0% of the chord	0.84%	0.3%
<i>MVA-123M</i>	5.3% at 15.0% of the chord	6.55% at 40.0% of the chord	0.84%	0.3%
<i>MVA-173</i>	7.7% at 20.0% of the chord	6.3% at 40.0% of the chord	0.7734%	0.2%

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India) = 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA) = 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland) = 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India) = 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia) = 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ) = 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India) = 4.260</b>
<b>JIF = 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA) = 0.350</b>

<i>MVA-227</i>	14.6% at 25.0% of the chord	11.65% at 50.0% of the chord	1.7205%	0.3%
<i>MVA-301</i>	9.8% at 25.0% of the chord	10.05% at 30.0% of the chord	1.3932%	0.3%
<i>MVA30175</i>	7.4% at 30.0% of the chord	6.95% at 40.0% of the chord	0.8434%	0.2%
<i>MVA-301M</i>	8.7% at 20.0% of the chord	7.15% at 30.0% of the chord	1.1769%	0.2%
<i>MVA-342</i>	5.5% at 25.0% of the chord	6.65% at 40.0% of the chord	0.8799%	0.3%
<i>MVA-439</i>	7.9% at 30.0% of the chord	5.7% at 40.0% of the chord	0.7004%	0.0%
<i>mve8.516</i>	8.5% at 26.8% of the chord	1.6% at 36.5% of the chord	0.5392%	0.0856%
<i>mve8516 f 3</i>	8.5% at 26.8% of the chord	1.6% at 36.5% of the chord	0.5392%	0.0861%
<i>MZ 5411</i>	11.25% at 35.0% of the chord	5.63% at 35.0% of the chord	0.8824%	0.2%
<i>MZ 6409</i>	9.1% at 25.0% of the chord	6.75% at 35.0% of the chord	0.8824%	0.2%

**Note:**


*M3 - HB396* (Per HLG-F3J);  
*Marquardt* (J. Marquardt (USA));  
*Martin M 1* (G.L. Martin (USA));  
*mb7136* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*mb714* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*mc813* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*md8135* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*md814* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*MEG 59* (E. Gallazzi (Italy));  
*MEG 62-63137* (E. Gallazzi (Italy));  
*MEG 64* (E. Gallazzi (Italy));  
*MEG 66* (E. Gallazzi (Italy));  
*MEG 69-012* (E. Gallazzi (Italy));  
*MG 08* (Marcel Guwang volet a 30%);  
*MG05* (Marcel Guwang);  
*MG06* (Marcel Guwang volets a 30%);  
*MH 22-Mod,3* (Elaborato per Delta 400);  
*mhmi2* (By Matteo Gallizia – Italy);  
*mhmi3* (By Matteo Gallizia – Italy);  
*mjp711f-3* (Flying Wing airfoils flap 75% - 3 edumol);  
*mjp712* ([edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*mjz 1211* (Flying Wing airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.co](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.co));  
*MM 007* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 009* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 010* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 012* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1,75-10* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1,75-9* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 100* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1010b* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1100* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 11-29* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1200* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1300* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1407* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1608* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);

**Impact Factor:**

<b>ISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>

*MM 1710* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1809* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1811b* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1910* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 1995* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 200* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 2-10 a* (by Mario Marzocchi);  
*MM 2-12* (by Mario Marzocchi);  
*MM 2-9* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 300* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*MM 400* (by Mario Marzocchi – Italy);  
*Mosca 317* (TsAGI (URSS));  
*ms1,9-8,7* (f3i, f3b [matthieu.scherrer@supaero.fr](mailto:matthieu.scherrer@supaero.fr));  
*ms2-9,5* (f3i, f3b root [matthieu.scherrer@supaero.fr](mailto:matthieu.scherrer@supaero.fr));  
*MS3,3-11GP* (thermaling, scale, [matthieu.scherrer@su](mailto:matthieu.scherrer@su));  
*MS3,3-11GPT* (for tip; thermaling, scale matthieu.sc);  
*MS3,3-15GP* (root of scale sailplane matthieu.scherr);  
*msa812* (F5B fast airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*mve8.516* (F3B airfoils [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*mve8516 f3* (F3B airfoils flap 80% +3 [edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar](mailto:edumolfino@ciudad.com.ar));  
*MZ 5411* (F. Zaic (USA));  
*MZ 6409* (F. Zaic (USA)).

**Table 2. The geometric shapes of the airfoils in the cross section.**



**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

- md814
- MEG 62-63137
- MEG 66
- MEG-197
- MG05
- MH 102
- MH 106
- MH 110
- MH 113
- MH 115
- MH 117
- MH 18 11,14%
- MH 20
- MH 22
- MH 22-Mod,3
- MH 24
- MH 26
- MH 30
- MH 32
- MH 34
- MH 42 8,94%
- MH 43 8,5%
- MH 45
- MH 49

- MEG 59
- MEG 64
- MEG 69-012
- MG 08
- MG06
- MH 104
- MH 108
- MH 112
- MH 114
- MH 116
- MH 18
- MH 18B
- MH 20 9,02%
- MH 22 7,21%
- MH 23
- MH 25
- MH 27
- MH 31
- MH 33
- MH 42
- MH 43
- MH 44
- MH 46
- MH 60

**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

MH 60 10,08%	MH 61
MH 61 10,28%	MH 62
MH 62 9,3%	MH 64
MH 78	MH 91
MH 92	MH 93
MH32 (8,71%)	MH45
mhmi2	mhmi3
MILEY M06-13-128	MIRAGE
Miser	Misto 50-50 S1046-S8035
mjp711f-3	mjp712
mjz 1211	MM 007
MM 008	MM 009
MM 010	MM 012
MM 1,75-10	MM 1,75-9
MM 100	MM 1010a
MM 1010b	MM 1100
MM 11-29	MM 1200
MM 1300	MM 1407
MM 1608	MM 1609
MM 1710	MM 1711
MM 1809	MM 1810
MM 1811b	MM 1910
MM 1995	MM 200




## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
 ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939  
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
 PIF (India) = 1.940  
 IBI (India) = 4.260  
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350



### Results and discussion

The calculated pressure contours on the surfaces of the airfoils at the different angles of attack are presented in the Figs. 1-146. The calculated values on the scale can be represented as the basic values when comparing the pressure drop under conditions of changing the angle of attack of the airfoils.

146 airfoils of the airplane wings were considered. All airfoils are asymmetrical, with the exception of the Martin M 1, MG05 and Misto 50-50 S1046-S8035, which are symmetrical.

Aerodynamic characteristics depend on the geometry of the airfoil of the airplane wing. The maximum thickness along the chord is observed for the Marsden (27.88%), the minimum thickness along the chord is observed for the MVA-123 (5.3%) of the considered airfoils. The curved airfoils potentially

have better aerodynamic characteristics. The camber of the MVA-227 airfoil is 11.65% relative to the chord length, which is the highest ratio among all the studied airfoils. The value of the radius of the leading edge of the airfoil affects the drag, i.e. the flight speed of the airplane. The smallest and largest leading edge radii of 0.1276% and 7.7426% were determined for the MM 1.75-9 and Marsden airfoils, respectively. The trailing edge thickness for the most airfoils is 0%. The maximum thickness of the trailing edge (0.728%) was identified for the MT722 airfoil.

Let us consider in detail the change in pressure on the surfaces of several proposed airfoils under conditions of changing the angle of attack: MARSKE MONARCH, Martin M 1, MATWIES6, MEG 64, MH 27, MILEY M06-13-128, MT722 and MVA-227.

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939  
ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
PIF (India) = 1.940  
IBI (India) = 4.260  
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

The MARSKE MONARCH airfoil is characterized by a twofold increase in the drag coefficient during the airplane descent, compared with the airplane climb. A small bulge on the upper surface of the airfoil, formed at the place of thickening, leads to the formation of negative pressure.

The streamlined geometric shape of the Martin M 1 airfoil with a thickening in the middle ensures the formation of uniform negative pressure of the small value on the upper and lower surfaces in conditions of horizontal flight of the airplane. During maneuvers, the airplane wing is subjected to almost the same pressure values on the upper and lower surfaces, depending on the angle of attack.

The concave lower surface of the MATWIES6 airfoil forms the area of positive pressure during horizontal flight of the airplane. During the airplane climb, the camber of the airfoil increases the lifting force of the wing, and during the airplane descent, the pressure difference on the surfaces becomes minimal.

The MEG 64 airfoil, due to its specific geometric shape in the cross section, provides the formation of variable positive and negative pressures on edges and

surfaces at different angles of attack. The action of pressures of the small values on this airfoil is noted.

The barrel shape of the MH 27 airfoil, like the Martin M 1 airfoil, ensures the formation of low negative pressure on the surfaces at the angle of attack of 0 degrees. Maximum pressure is concentrated on the leading edge and part of the upper or lower surfaces mating with it during maneuvers.

The MILEY M06-13-128 airfoil, at the angle of attack of 15 degrees, experiences the greater drag than at the angle of attack of -15 degrees. Maximum negative pressure on the airfoil occurs during the airplane climb.

The MT722 airfoil is characterized by increasing the negative pressure value by two times on the leading edge during the airplane descent in the atmosphere. The low aerodynamic characteristics of the airplane wing are determined by the small pressure difference on the upper and lower surfaces.

Based on the calculated pressure contours obtained on the MVA-227 airfoil, the occurrence of the large lifting force due to the significant pressure difference on the wing surfaces is confirmed.




Figure 1. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the M06-13-1 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (USA)</b> = 6.317	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = 0.912	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = 6.630
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = 1.582	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = 3.939	<b>PIF (India)</b> = 1.940
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = 0.564	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = 8.771	<b>IBI (India)</b> = 4.260
<b>JIF</b> = 1.500	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = 7.184	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = 0.350




Figure 2. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the M3 - HB396 airfoil.




Figure 3. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the M6 (65%) airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 4. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the M6 (85%) airfoil.




Figure 5. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MA409 (original) airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 6. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MA409 (smoothed) airfoil.




Figure 7. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Marquardt airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 8. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Marsden airfoil.




Figure 9. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MARSKE MONARCH airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 10. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MARSKE PIONEER IA airfoil.




Figure 11. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MARSKE PIONEER IID ROOT airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 12. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MARSKE PIONEER IID TIP airfoil.






Figure 13. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MARSKE XM-1D airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 14. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Martin M 1 airfoil.**



**Figure 15. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MATWIES6 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 16. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MB253515 airfoil.




Figure 17. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MB253515 15,0% smoothed airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 18. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MB303515 airfoil.




Figure 19. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mb7136 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 20. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mb714 airfoil.




Figure 21. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mc813 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 22. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the md8135 airfoil.




Figure 23. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the md814 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 24. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG 59 airfoil.




Figure 25. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG 62-63137 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA</b> (India) = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS</b> (USA) = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV</b> (Poland) = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI</b> (Dubai, UAE) = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ</b> (Russia) = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF</b> (India) = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF</b> (Australia) = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI</b> (KZ) = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI</b> (India) = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF</b> (Morocco) = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI</b> (USA) = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 26. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG 64 airfoil.




Figure 27. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG 66 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 28. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG 69-012 airfoil.




Figure 29. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MEG-197 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 30. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MG 08 airfoil.




Figure 31. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MG05 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 32. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MG06 airfoil.




Figure 33. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 102 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 34. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 104 airfoil.






Figure 35. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 106 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




**Figure 36. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 108 airfoil.**




**Figure 37. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 110 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 38. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 112 airfoil.**



**Figure 39. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 113 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 40. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 114 airfoil.






Figure 41. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 115 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 42. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 116 airfoil.**



**Figure 43. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 117 airfoil.**



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 44. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 18 airfoil.




Figure 45. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 18 11,14% airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 46. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 18B airfoil.




Figure 47. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 20 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 48. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 20 9,02% airfoil.




Figure 49. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 22 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA</b> (India) = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS</b> (USA) = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV</b> (Poland) = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI</b> (Dubai, UAE) = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ</b> (Russia) = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF</b> (India) = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF</b> (Australia) = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI</b> (KZ) = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI</b> (India) = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF</b> (Morocco) = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI</b> (USA) = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 50. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 22 7,21% airfoil.






Figure 51. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 22-Mod,3 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 52. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 23 airfoil.**



**Figure 53. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 24 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 54. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 25 airfoil.





Figure 55. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 26 airfoil.




**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 56. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 27 airfoil.**



**Figure 57. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 30 airfoil.**



**Impact Factor:**

<b>ISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 58. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 31 airfoil.




Figure 59. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 32 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 60. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 33 airfoil.






Figure 61. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 34 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




**Figure 62. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 42 airfoil.**




**Figure 63. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 42 8,94% airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




**Figure 64. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 43 airfoil.**




**Figure 65. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 43 8,5% airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 66.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 44 airfoil.



**Figure 67.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 45 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = 6.317	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = 0.912	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = 6.630
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = 1.582	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = 3.939	<b>PIF (India)</b> = 1.940
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = 0.564	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = 8.771	<b>IBI (India)</b> = 4.260
<b>JIF</b> = 1.500	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = 7.184	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = 0.350




Figure 68. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 46 airfoil.




Figure 69. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 49 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 70. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 60 airfoil.






Figure 71. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 60 10,08% airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




**Figure 72. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 61 airfoil.**




**Figure 73. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 61 10,28% airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA</b> (India) = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS</b> (USA) = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV</b> (Poland) = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI</b> (Dubai, UAE) = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ</b> (Russia) = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF</b> (India) = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF</b> (Australia) = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI</b> (KZ) = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI</b> (India) = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF</b> (Morocco) = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI</b> (USA) = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 74. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 62 airfoil.**



**Figure 75. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 62 9,3% airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 76. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 64 airfoil.




Figure 77. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 78 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 78. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 91 airfoil.




Figure 79. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 92 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 80. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH 93 airfoil.




Figure 81. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH32 (8,71%) airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 82. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MH45 airfoil.






Figure 83. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mhmi2 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




**Figure 84.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mhmi3 airfoil.




**Figure 85.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MILEY M06-13-128 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 86. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MIRAGE airfoil.**



**Figure 87. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Miser airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 88. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Misto 50-50 S1046-S8035 airfoil.




Figure 89. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mjp711f-3 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 90. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mjp712 airfoil.




Figure 91. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mjp1211 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 92. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 007 airfoil.




Figure 93. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 008 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 94. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 009 airfoil.




Figure 95. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 010 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 96. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 012 airfoil.




Figure 97. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1,75-10 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 98. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1,75-9 airfoil.






Figure 99. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 100 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA</b> (India) = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS</b> (USA) = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV</b> (Poland) = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI</b> (Dubai, UAE) = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ</b> (Russia) = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF</b> (India) = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF</b> (Australia) = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI</b> (KZ) = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI</b> (India) = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF</b> (Morocco) = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI</b> (USA) = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 100.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1010a airfoil.




**Figure 101.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1010b airfoil.




**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 102. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1100 airfoil.**



**Figure 103. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 11-29 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 104. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1200 airfoil.






Figure 105. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1300 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 106. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1407 airfoil.**



**Figure 107. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1608 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




Figure 108. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1609 airfoil.






Figure 109. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1710 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 110. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1711 airfoil.**



**Figure 111. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1809 airfoil.**



**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




Figure 112. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1810 airfoil.






Figure 113. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1811b airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




**Figure 114. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1910 airfoil.**




**Figure 115. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 1995 airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 116. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 200 airfoil.**



**Figure 117. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 2-10 a airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




Figure 118. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 2-12 airfoil.




Figure 119. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 2-9 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 120. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 300 airfoil.




Figure 121. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MM 400 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




Figure 122. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the Mosca 317 airfoil.




Figure 123. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MRC-16 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 124. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MRC-20 airfoil.




Figure 125. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the ms1,9-8,7 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 126. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the ms2-9,5 airfoil.




Figure 127. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MS3,3-11GP airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 128. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MS3,3-11GPT airfoil.






Figure 129. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MS3,3-15GP airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 130.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the msa812 airfoil.




**Figure 131.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MT172 airfoil.




**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>




**Figure 132. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MT722 airfoil.**




**Figure 133. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-101M airfoil.**

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b>	<b>= 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b>	<b>= 6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b>	<b>= 1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b>	<b>= 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b>	<b>= 1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b>	<b>= 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b>	<b>= 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b>	<b>= 4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b>	<b>= 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b>	<b>= 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b>	<b>= 0.350</b>



**Figure 134.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-123 airfoil.



**Figure 135.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-123M airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 136. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-173 airfoil.




Figure 137. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-227 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>




Figure 138. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-301 airfoil.




Figure 139. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA30175 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350




Figure 140. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-301M airfoil.




Figure 141. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-342 airfoil.



**Impact Factor:**

<b>SIS (India)</b> = 6.317	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = 0.912	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = 6.630
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = 1.582	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = 3.939	<b>PIF (India)</b> = 1.940
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = 0.564	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = 8.771	<b>IBI (India)</b> = 4.260
<b>JIF</b> = 1.500	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = 7.184	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = 0.350




Figure 142. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MVA-439 airfoil.






Figure 143. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mve8.516 airfoil.

**Impact Factor:**

<b>SISRA (India)</b> = <b>6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA)</b> = <b>0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland)</b> = <b>6.630</b>
<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE)</b> = <b>1.582</b>	<b>ПИИЦ (Russia)</b> = <b>3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India)</b> = <b>1.940</b>
<b>GIF (Australia)</b> = <b>0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ)</b> = <b>8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India)</b> = <b>4.260</b>
<b>JIF</b> = <b>1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco)</b> = <b>7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA)</b> = <b>0.350</b>



**Figure 144.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the mve8516 f 3 airfoil.



**Figure 145.** The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MZ 5411 airfoil.

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	ПИИИ (Russia)	= 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco)	= 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350




Figure 146. The pressure contours on the surfaces of the MZ 6409 airfoil.

### Conclusion

Computer simulation makes it possible to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of the airplane wings under normal weather conditions. The presented calculated pressure contours, conjugated with the surfaces of the airfoils, create a complete picture of the influence of the geometry of the airfoil on the lift and drag value. Thus, the results of the calculation are relevant for choosing the advantageous

configuration of the airfoil of the airplane wing under certain flight conditions, for example, the development of the maximum speed of horizontal flight. For all the considered airfoils, the greatest value of positive pressure occurs during the airplane descent, and the greatest value of negative pressure occurs both during the airplane climb and during the airplane descent.

### References:

1. Anderson, J. D. (2010). *Fundamentals of Aerodynamics*. McGraw-Hill, Fifth edition.
2. Shevell, R. S. (1989). *Fundamentals of Flight*. Prentice Hall, Second edition.
3. Houghton, E. L., & Carpenter, P. W. (2003). *Aerodynamics for Engineering Students*. Fifth edition, Elsevier.
4. Lan, E. C. T., & Roskam, J. (2003). *Airplane Aerodynamics and Performance*. DAR Corp.
5. Sadraey, M. (2009). *Aircraft Performance Analysis*. VDM Verlag Dr. Müller.
6. Anderson, J. D. (1999). *Aircraft Performance and Design*. McGraw-Hill.
7. Roskam, J. (2007). *Airplane Flight Dynamics and Automatic Flight Control*, Part I. DAR Corp.
8. Etkin, B., & Reid, L. D. (1996). *Dynamics of Flight, Stability and Control*. Third Edition, Wiley.
9. Stevens, B. L., & Lewis, F. L. (2003). *Aircraft Control and Simulation*. Second Edition, Wiley.
10. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Pressure distribution on the surfaces of the NACA 0012 airfoil under conditions of changing the angle of attack. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 09 (101), 601-606.

**Impact Factor:**

**ISRA (India) = 6.317**  
**ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582**  
**GIF (Australia) = 0.564**  
**JIF = 1.500**

**SIS (USA) = 0.912**  
**ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939**  
**ESJI (KZ) = 8.771**  
**SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184**

**ICV (Poland) = 6.630**  
**PIF (India) = 1.940**  
**IBI (India) = 4.260**  
**OAJI (USA) = 0.350**

11. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Stressed state of surfaces of the NACA 0012 airfoil at high angles of attack. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (102), 601-604.
12. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter A (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (102), 943-958.
13. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter A (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 11 (103), 656-675.
14. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter B. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 11 (103), 1001-1076.
15. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter C. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (104), 814-844.
16. Chemezov, D., et al. (2021). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter D. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 12 (104), 1244-1274.
17. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils (hydrofoils) having the names beginning with the letter E (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 01 (105), 501-569.
18. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils (hydrofoils) having the names beginning with the letter E (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 01 (105), 601-671.
19. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter F. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 02 (106), 101-135.
20. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 03 (107), 701-784.
21. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 03 (107), 901-984.
22. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter G (the third part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 04 (108), 401-484.
23. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter H (the first part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 05 (109), 201-258.
24. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter H (the second part). *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 05 (109), 529-586.
25. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter I. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 06 (110), 1-7.
26. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter J. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 06 (110), 18-25.
27. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter K. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 07 (111), 1-10.
28. Chemezov, D., et al. (2022). Reference data of pressure distribution on the surfaces of airfoils having the names beginning with the letter L. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 07 (111), 101-118.