				Issue		Article
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco	o) = 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350
Impact Factor:	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	РИНЦ (Russia	a) = 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630







Kh. Iremashvili Georgian Technical University Georgia

G. Berdzenashvili Georgian Technical University Georgia

G. Tsivilashvili Georgian Technical University Georgia

M. Shishinashvili Georgian Technical University Georgia

FORMULATION OF A THREE-DIMENSIONAL (3D) BOUNDARY PROBLEM OF AN UNSTEADY WAVE PROCESS GENERATED IN THE SCHEMATIZED WATER RESERVOIR AND ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS USING THE FINITE INTEGRAL TRANSFORM TECHNIQUE

Abstract: The work deals with a case, when there occurs the inflow (or outflow) of landslide masses, mud flow or water stream from all sides of the water reservoir at the definite velocity in the finite time interval, downstream (on the edges) and on the near shore slopes.

Key words: Water reservoir, Dam, Mud flow, Landslide, Wave, Hydraulic structure.

Language: English

Citation: Iremashvili, Kh., Berdzenashvili, G., Tsivilashvili, G., & Shishinashvili, M. (2023). Formulation of a three-dimensional (3D) boundary problem of an unsteady wave process generated in the schematized water reservoir and analytical solutions using the finite integral transform technique. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 02 (118), 553-557.

Soi: <u>http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-02-118-50</u> *Doi*: <u>crosse</u> <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2023.02.118.50</u> *Scopus ASCC*: 2600.

Introduction

In order to provide a reliable operation of the hydraulic structure located in the water reservoir some engineering prediction calculations related to the impact of landslide masses or mud flows on the hydraulic structure have to be made. This process implies determination of not only dynamic effect directly on the structure, but also taking into account of those hydrodynamic forces action, which are originated in the form of waves and hydrodynamic pressures resulting from landslide inflow to the reservoir and its propagation. The problem is formulated based on the small amplitude wave theory assuming that the reservoir water is ideal, incompressible and its movement is potential one. In this case the problem statement lies in the solution of Laplace equation $\Delta \varphi = 0$, in case of corresponding initial and boundary conditions, where $\varphi(x, y, z, t)$ is a velocity potential and $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$ is a laplacian operator.

Clarivate Analytics indexed



Fig. 1. General computational model of three-dimensional (3D) unsteady wave process generated resulting from landslide inflow to the water reservoir

Based on schematization, the water reservoir (or its section) is represented in the form of right-angle parallelogram with sizes: l - length, $l_1 - \text{width}$ and h - depth, as is seen at the Figure 1.

A general case is considered, when takes place inflow (or outflow) of landslide masses, mud flow or water stream from all sides of a reservoir at a definite velocity and in a finite time interval. These velocities downstream are $\tilde{V}_1(z, y, t)$ and $\tilde{V}_2(z, y, t)$, while at the near shore slopes - $\tilde{U}_1(z, x, t)$ and $\tilde{U}_2(z, x, t)$. So, a formulated problem has the following shape:

Equation of continuity

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Boundary condition at the bottom

$$\left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|_{z=-h} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Boundary condition at the water reservoir boards

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = \tilde{V}_1(z, y, t), \qquad -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=l} = \tilde{V}_2(z, y, t) \quad (3)$$

Boundary condition at the water reservoir sides

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = \widetilde{U}_1(z, x, t), \qquad -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=l_1} = \widetilde{U}_2(z, x, t) \quad (4)$$

Free-surface condition

$$\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} \Big|_{z=0} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0} = 0$$
(5)

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \Big|_{z=0} \tag{6}$$

It is meant that the mentioned velocities are originated within a certain time period. For instance, velocity \tilde{V}_1 is determined within a time interval $[S_1, S_2]$:

$$\tilde{V}_{1}(z, y, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , t < S_{1} \\ V_{1}(z, y, t) & , t \in [S_{1}, S_{2}] \\ 0 & , t > S_{2} \end{cases}$$
(7)

The analytical solutions of the three-dimensional boundary problem of the above-mentioned unsteady hydro dynamical (wave) motion in a general way was obtained by Professor T. Gvelesiani using the integral transform technique, so we no more touch upon a question of its solving.

Inflow of landslide/mud flow mainly takes place from one side only, that is why we discuss a special case of application of the mentioned formula, when the landslide mass inflow to the reservoir occurs at one section $(x_1 \le x \le x_2)$ of the near shore slope (y = 0)only. Respectively, the landslide width along a side is 2b. At that, $x_1 = l - x_0 - b$ and $x_2 = l - x_0 + b$, where x_0 is a distance from landslide section midpoint to the dam cross-section x = l. Inflow layer thickness (depth) h_0 varies within a following range $-H_1 < h_0 < -H_2$, where H_1 and H_2 are the coordinates of upper and lower limits of the inflow bed.

Landslide mass inflow velocity may be represented as follows:

$$\tilde{U}_{1}(z, x, t) = U_{1}F_{1}(z)F_{2}(x)f_{1}(t)$$
(8)

Let us consider a time interval $0 \le t \le t_0$, i.e. a case, when according to the above-mentioned notation, the values of S_1 and S_2 equal $S_1 = 0$ and



Philadelphia, USA

	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
Impact Factor:	ISI (Dubai, UAE)	= 1.582	РИНЦ (Russia)) = 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

 $S_2 = t_0$, respectively. Let us assume in this particular case that the functions entering the expression (8) can be approximated in such a way:

$$F_1(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & , -H_1 < z < 0 \\ 1 & , -H_2 \le z \le -H_1 \\ 0 & , -h \le z \le -H_2 \end{cases}$$
(9)

$$F_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x < x_{1} \\ 1 & , x_{1} \le x \le x_{2} \\ 0 & , x > x_{2} \end{cases}$$
(10)
$$f_{1}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , t < 0 \\ 1 & , 0 \le t \le t_{0} \\ 0 & , t > t_{0} \end{cases}$$
(11)

.

Resulting from solution of the mentioned particular boundary problem the velocity potential is expressed as

$$\varphi(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{4}\varphi_0^0 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ m=1}}^{\infty}\varphi_0^m cosa_m y + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{n=1\\ n=1}}^{\infty}\varphi_n^n cosa_n x + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\ m=1}}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ m=1}}^{\infty}\varphi_n^m cosa_m y + cosa_n x$$
(12)

Where

$$\varphi_n^m = \psi_n^m \left(\left[S_n^m(z) \cdot f_1(t) - B_n^m(z) \cdot i_n^m(t) \right] \cdot J_n^m - \frac{G_n^m f_1(t)}{a_n^m} \right)$$

$$\varphi_0^0 = \psi_0^0 \left(\left[z \cdot f_1(t) - g \cdot i_0^0(t) \right] J_0^0 - G_0^0 \cdot f_1(t) \right)$$
(13)

$$\psi_n^m = -\frac{4U_1}{ll_1 \cdot a_n} (sina_n x_2 - sina_n x_1)$$

$$\psi_n^m = -\frac{4U_1}{ll_1 \cdot a_n} (sina_n x_2 - sina_n x_1)$$
(14)

$$\psi_{0}^{m} = -\frac{1}{ll_{1}}(x_{2} - x_{1})$$

$$i_{n}^{m}(t) = \frac{2}{\gamma_{n}^{m}}sin\gamma_{n}^{m}\frac{t_{0}}{2} \cdot sin\gamma_{n}^{m}\left(t - \frac{t_{0}}{2}\right)$$

$$t_{n}^{2}$$
(15)

$$i_0^0(t) = t \cdot t_0 - \frac{t_0^2}{2} \tag{13}$$

$$J_n^m = -\frac{1}{a_n^m} [sha_n^m (h - H_1) - sha_n^m (h - H_2)]$$

$$J_0^0 = H_1 - H_2$$
(16)

$$G_n^m = \int_0^z sha_n^m (z - \xi) d\xi, \qquad G_0^0 = \int_0^z (z - \xi) d\xi$$
(17)

$$B_n^m = \gamma_n^m \cdot S_n^m(z) + \frac{g \cdot cha_n^m z}{\gamma_n^m \cdot cha_n^m h}, \qquad S_n^m(z) = \frac{sha_n^m z}{a_n \cdot cha_n h}$$
(18)
$$a_n^m = \sqrt{a_n^2 + a_m^2}, \qquad \gamma_n^m = \sqrt{a_n^m tha_n^m}$$

$$a_{n}^{n} = \sqrt{a_{n}^{2} + a_{m}^{2}}, \quad \gamma_{n}^{m} = \sqrt{a_{n}^{m} t h a_{n}^{m}}$$

$$a_{n} = \frac{n\pi}{l}, \quad a_{m} = \frac{m\pi}{l}$$

$$n = 1, 2, ..., \quad m = 1, 2, ...$$
(19)

If we consider the hydrodynamic process after land sliding to the reservoir, i.e. when $t > t_0$; $f_1(t) = 0$ the expressions will be simplified and $\omega_1^0 = -\psi_1^0 a \cdot i_2^0(t) \cdot I_2^0$ In this case an amplitude η of wave generated in the reservoir, will be calculated according to the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{0}^{0} &= -\varphi_{0}g^{-1}t_{0}(t)^{-1}t_{0} \\
\varphi_{n}^{m} &= -\psi_{n}^{m} \cdot B_{n}^{m}(z) \cdot i_{n}^{m}(t) \cdot J_{n}^{m} \\
n, m &= 0, 1, 2, ... \\
\eta(x, y, t) &= -\frac{1}{4g}(\varphi_{0}^{0})_{t}^{\prime} - \frac{1}{2g}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(\varphi_{0}^{m})_{t}^{\prime} \cos a_{m}y \\
&- \frac{1}{2g}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\varphi_{m}^{0})_{t}^{\prime} \cos a_{n}x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(\varphi_{n}^{m})_{t}^{\prime} \cos a_{m}y \cdot \cos a_{n}x
\end{aligned}$$
(21)

Where

$$(\varphi_n^m)_t' = \frac{\partial \varphi_n^m}{\partial t}$$
(22)

Or else in the alternate form:



Philadelphia, USA

$\mathbf{ISRA} (India) = 6.317$	SIS (USA) $= 0.912$	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	РИНЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	$\mathbf{ESJI} (\mathrm{KZ}) = 8.771$	IBI (India)	= 4.260
$\mathbf{JIF} = 1.500$	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

$$\eta(x, y, t) = -\frac{1}{4}\eta_0^0 -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\eta_n^0 \cos a_n x - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\eta_0^m \cos a_m y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\eta_n^m \cos a_n x \cdot \cos a_m y$$

Where

$$\eta_0^0 = \psi_0^0 J_0^0 [i_0^0(t)]_t', \qquad \eta_n^m = \frac{\psi_n^m \cdot J_n^m}{\gamma_n^m \cdot cha_n^m h} [i_n^m(t)]_t'$$
(24)

$$[i_n^m(t)]'_t = \frac{\partial l_n^m(t)}{\partial t} = 2sin\gamma_n^m \frac{t_0}{2} \cdot cos\gamma_n^m \left(t - \frac{t_0}{2}\right)$$

$$(25)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_0^0(t)]_t' = \frac{y_0(t)}{\partial t} = t_0 \\ \psi_n^m = -\frac{4U_1}{ll_1 a_n} \begin{cases} x_2 - x_1 = 2b & ; n = m = 0 \\ \sin a_n x_2 - \sin a_n x_1 & ; n > 0, m = 0 \end{cases}$$
(26)

When the landslide (mud flow) mass or water inflows in the initial x = 0 cross-section, and the main flow is oriented along the x axis, then the wave processes generated in the reservoir will be described as follows:

(23)

$$\psi_n^m = -\frac{4V_1}{ll_1 a_m} \begin{cases} y_2 - y_1 = 2b_1 & ; n = m = 0\\ sina_m y_2 - sina_m y_1 & ; n = 0, m > 0 \end{cases}$$
(27)

Where $2b_1$ and V_1 (or outflow- V_1) are the width (along the y axis) and velocity of landslide mass inflow section.

As is seen from solutions, the value of wave amplitude generated in the reservoir due to landslide varies according to x, y coordinates and t time. At the same time, it is a function of a wide variety of parameters, namely

 $\eta(x, y, t) = f(l, l_1, h, U_1, t_0, 2b, x_0, H_1, H_2) \quad (28)$

As far as η value is in direct functional dependence from U_1 velocity, presuming that $H_1 = 0, H_2 = h$ we can represent the dependence as follows:

$$\frac{\eta(x, y, t)}{U_1} = f(l, l_1, h, t_0, 2b, x_0)$$
(29)

Let us enter the notion of parameter $D = U_1 t_0$, which expresses an average thickness of a landslide mass slided down to the reservoir. Based on the obtained computation pattern it can be assessed in a following way:

$$\frac{\eta(x, y, t)}{D} = \frac{1}{t_0} f(l, l_1, h, t_0, 2b, x_0)$$
(30)

While expressing the calculation parameters entering the expression in terms of relative magnitudes, we obtain

$$\frac{\eta^*(x^*, y^*, t^*)}{D^*} = \frac{1}{t_0^*} f(l^*, l_1^*, t_0^*, 2b^*, x_0^*)$$
(31)

Where

$$l^{*} = \frac{l}{h}, \quad l_{1}^{*} = \frac{l_{1}}{h}, \quad 2b^{*} = \frac{2b}{h}$$

$$x_{0}^{*} = \frac{x_{0}}{h}, \quad t_{0}^{*} = t_{0}\sqrt{\frac{g}{h}}, \quad x^{*} = \frac{x}{h}$$

$$y^{*} = \frac{y}{h}, \quad t^{*} = t\sqrt{\frac{g}{h}}, \quad D^{*} = \frac{D}{h}$$

$$\eta^{*} = \frac{\eta}{h}$$
(32)

It is much more convenient to characterize the wave process generated via landslide impact through calculation of parameters written in the form of η_D dependence of amplitude from the average landslide thickness

Conclusion

The discussed methodology makes it possible to set up a computer program for mud flow forming wave calculation in the schematized water reservoir according to the different directions of mud flow movement that is very important at the stage of planning, construction and operation of reservoirs and dams.

References:



Impact Factor:	ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
	ISI (Dubai, UAE	() = 1.582	РИНЦ (Russia)) = 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
	GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
	JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

- Gvelesiani, T., Danelia, R., & Berdzenashvili, G. (1999). Mathematical model of unsteady hydrodynamic processes caused by mobile mud flow on the bottom of reservoir. *Bull. of the Georg. Academy of Sciences*, Tbilisi, 1999. v.159, 3, pp. 457-459.
- Gvelesiani, T., Iremashvili, Kh., Akhmedov, A., & Berdzenashvili, G. (2015). *River flow velocities distribution at the transversal coastprotecting structure zone*. 5th International Scientific and Technical Conference, Modern Problems of Water Management, Environmental Protection, Architecture and Construction. 16-19 July, 2015. pp. 45-48.
- 3. Gvelesiani, Τ., Jinjikhashvili, G., Berdzenashvili, G., Stepania, T., & Aronia, G. (2015). On prediction and prevention of debris flow catastrophic impacts on hydraulic projects in the mountain areas (Georgia, Israel). 5th International Scientific and Technical Conference. Modern Problems of Water Environmental Management, Protection, Architecture and Construction. 16-19 July, 2015. pp. 49-54.
- Gvelesiani, T., Jinjikhashvili, G., & Mamradze, G. (1982). Methods of Investigation of Landslide Generated Waves Considering the Form of Reservoirs. ICOLD, XIV Congress. (p.29). Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Wiegel, R., et al. (1970). Water Waves Generated by Landslides in Reservoirs. Proc. of ASCE, J. of the Waterw. And Harb. Div., 1970. Vol.96, WW2.
- Gvelesiani, T., Danelia, R., & Berdzenashvili, G. (1998). Forecast of dynamic parameters of consequent (block) landslides along the

nearshore slopes and at the bottom of reservoirs. *Georgian Engineering News*. Tbilisi. 4(8). 1998. pp. 61-64.

 Kechakmadze, M. G., Shishinashvili, M. T., & Chubinidze, G. A. (2021). Importance of Georgia zoning by vertical climatic zones for road pavement optimum design. *ISJ Theoretical* & *Applied Science*, 06 (98), 647-649. Soi: Retrieved from http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-06-98-84 Doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2021.06.98.84

 Iremashvili, Kh., & Berdzenashvili, G. (2016). "The mountain water reservoir inflow characteristics calculation technique according to the results obtained through solution of the boundary problems of the small amplitude wave theory". VI International Scientific and Technical Conference "Modern-day problems of water economies, environmental protection, architecture and construction". Program and proceedings, 22-25 September, 2016, pp. 90-95.

- Shishinashvili, M.T. (2016). Use of semi-rigid composite pavements in different regions of georgia. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 03 (35): 80-83. Soi: Retrieved from http://s-oi.org/1.1/TAS-03-35-15 Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2016.03.35.15
- Iremashvili, Kh., & Berdzenashvili, G. (2016). "The methodology of risk assessment and forecast of earth dam failure during high water in a deep mountain reservoir", VI International Scientific and Technical Conference "Modernday problems of water economies, environmental protection, architecture and construction". Program and proceedings, 22-25 September, 2016, pp. 95-100.

