ISRA (India) = 6.317 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582 GIF (Australia) = 0.564 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912 РИНЦ (Russia) = 3.939 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

PIF (India)
IBI (India)
OAJI (USA)

ICV (Poland)

= 6.630 = 1.940 = 4.260 = 0.350

Issue

Article



p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) **e-ISSN:** 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2023 **Issue:** 04 **Volume:** 120

Published: 20.04.2023 http://T-Science.org





Numonjon Turaev

International islamic academy of Uzbekistan Senior teacher, PhD +99897 470-04-03 n.toraev@iiau.uz

AL-IMĀM AL-BUKHĀRĪ'S WORK «AT-TA'RĪKH AL-KABĪR» – IS AN SIGNIFICANT SOURCE ON IDENTIFYING THE COMPANIONS

Abstract: In the science of hadīth, the place of ṣaḥāba (the companions) is incomparable, they are the people who deliver the hadīth of the Prophet (PBUH) to Muslims who come after them, that is, they are the ones who are at the base of isnād (chain of transmitters) of hadīth.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī's (194/810-256/870), recognized as "the leader of the believers in hadith", work "at-Ta'rīkh al-Kabīr" (the Great book of history) written as a result of his research on narrators, their history and personal qualities, is also one of the main sources for identifying companions. For this reason, the article examines Al-Imām al-Bukhārī 's method of showing companions and determining their position in this work.

Key words: at-Ta'rīkh al-Kabīr, ṣaḥāba, companion, ṣaḥiba, to have a conversation with someone, sami'a, to hear, raā, to see, adraka, to reach, 'adalatu-l-rāwiy, fairness of a narrator.

Language: English

Citation: Turaev, N. (2023). Al-Imām al-Bukhārī's work «At-Ta'rīkh al-Kabīr» – is an significant source on identifying the companions. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 04 (120), 220-223.

Soi: http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-04-120-40 Doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2023.04.120.40 Scopus ASCC: 3300.

Introduction

Muhaddiths focused on knowing ṣaḥāba (the companions) for several reasons. In particular, since all of them are considered to be 'adil (fair), 'adalatul-rāwiy (fairness of a narrator) who is identified as a companion is not checked. In this case, muhaddith is content with checking the narrator's companionship. At the same time, unlike other narrators, it is still accepted even if a companion hears a narration from another companion and narrates it as from the Messenger of Allah (PBUH). Also, companions' activities, words, and attitudes are accepted as ḥadīth. After all, they comprehended ḥadīth better than others.

When it comes to companions in 'ilmul-ḥadīth, they are first divided into those who narrated ḥadīth and those who did not. Because, although it is said in the sources that there are more than 100 thousand companions, among them there are no more than 1500 who narrated ḥadīth. Commenting on this, Dhahabī, quoting Abu Zur'a ar-Razī, notes that when the Prophet (PBUH) died, there were more than 100,000

companions, and $H\bar{a}kim$ an-Nays $\bar{a}b\bar{u}r\bar{t}$ said that the number of companions who narrated $had\bar{t}ths$ was 400. Dhahab \bar{t} disagrees with $H\bar{a}kim$'s opinion and states that the number of the Companions who narrated the hadith is about 1500 people [2, p. 1, 3].

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī, like other muhaddiths, paid great attention to distinguishing the Companions from others. For example, in "at-Ta'rīkh al-Kabīr" several special expressions are used to indicate the Companions.

Among them, *lahū ṣuḥba* (the owner of the conversation), which indicates that the narrator is a companion, is the most used. In the book it was used in 340 places [6, v. 2, p. 29, 44, 46]. The word *ṣaḥiba* which indicates that the narrator had a conversation with someone, is used in the form of *ṣāḥibun-Nabīyyi* (PBUH) in 18 places to show that the narrator is one of the Companions [6, v. 2, p. 76, 371, v. 3, p. 66, 67, 213, 265, v. 4, p. 135, v. 6, p. 83, 139, v. 7, p. 63, 135, 136, 316, 415, v. 8, p. 75, 106, 429, 445], and in other places, it is used in the form of *ṣāḥibu fulān* to show that the narrator had a conversation with some famous



ISRA (India) = 6.317SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630**ISI** (Dubai, UAE) = **1.582** PIF (India) = 1.940**РИНЦ** (Russia) = **3.939 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564= 8.771IBI (India) =4.260ESJI (KZ) = 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = **7.184** = 0.350OAJI (USA)

person. Therefore, the word *ṣāḥib* is not always used to indicate that the narrator is a companion, and wherever this conversation refers to the Prophet (PBUH), it indicates that he is a companion.

It can also be seen that several other verbs are used in the work to refer to companions. For example, the word *sami'a* (to hear) is usually used in places where it is clear that the narrator himself heard the *ḥadīth* from the sheikhs who are narrating it, and it shows the continuity of the chain of transmitters. During the monitoring of the work, it was found that this phrase was mentioned in more than 6 thousand places. When analyzing the places of their use and content, it was found that this term is also used to indicate that the narrator is one of the Companions.

It is known that to become a companion, it is enough to see the Prophet (PBUH) as a Muslim and die in this condition. However, Imam Bukhari focused on showing that the Companions had heard the words of the Prophet (PBUH). After all, only some of them who saw him narrated the <code>hadīth</code>.

In several places of the work, the expression sami 'a is used together with the expression lahū suḥba (the owner of the conversation), and in most cases, it is used separately. For example, Anas b. Harith, Bar b. 'Abdullāh, Hārith al- 'Ash 'arī, Hasan b. Abi Tālib, Hakam b. Muʻawiya an-Numayrī, Hakam b. Mu'awiya, Sulaīmān, Salāma b. Oaisar al-Hadramī, Sukayn ad-Damri, Shuraḥbīl b. Aws, Shuraḥbīl al-J'ufī, Sunābih b. al-Athar, 'Abdullāh b. Sabāra, 'Abd al-Malik b. 'Abbād b. J'afar, 'Arfaja b. Shurayh, Malik b. Rabī 'a, Malik b. 'Ubāda, Muāwiya b. Hayda, N'umān b. Rāzia, Nu 'aym b. Mas 'ūd, Wahb b. al-Qays b. Ābān, Waḥshi, Yazīd b. Sāib, Yazīd b. Asad, Yasār b. Sh'uba used the word sami'a to confirm the companionship of narrators such as Ibn Firāsī [6, v. 2, p. 30, 146, 260, 286, v. 3, p. 11, 11, v. 4, p. 1, 194, 198, 250, 250, 327, v. 5, p. 27, 404, v. 7, p. 64, 300, 301, 329, v. 8, p. 75, 92, 161, 180, 316, 317, 420, 444].

The work also uses the word $ra\bar{a}$ (to see) in order to indicate the Companions. This phrase used by $Al-Im\bar{a}m$ $al-Bukh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ in places where there is a possibility that a narrator may not have heard a narration directly from him while seeing the narrator himself was also used in several places to distinguish the Companions.

For example, *Al-Imām al-Bukhārī* mentioned *Ḥabīb b. Fudaik* in the book and showed that he saw the Prophet (PBUH), but he was a *mursil* (who narrates hadiths as he heard from Prophet (PBUH) which he didn't) [6, v. 2, p. 310].

 $Bukhar\bar{\imath}$ reported that $Sahl\ b.\ S'ad\ ra\bar{a}$ (saw) the Prophet (PBUH) at the age of 15. At the same time, a narration from $Ibn\ Shih\bar{a}b$ is also given. In it, it is narrated that $Sahl\ adraka$ (reached) the Prophet (PBUH) at the age of 15[6, v. 4, p. 97]. Based on this narration, it can be said that sometimes the word $ra\bar{a}$ is used in the same sense as adraka (reached).

In the work, it was found that the word $ra\bar{a}$ (to see) is used to show that 5 more narrators in total 7 are

from the Companions [6, v. 6, p. 310, 312, v. 7, p. 56, 253, v. 8, p. 140].

It can be seen that the word *adraka* (reached) is also used in the work to indicate the Companions. This expression is used to show that the narrator saw or lived in the same century and did not narrate from this person, and in most cases, it is used in the work to emphasize that the narrator is one of the Companions.

For example, about *Muḥammad b. Ḥātib al-Qurashī* [12, v. 3, p. 256; 10, v. 2, p. 84; 11, v. 3, p. 16; 8, v. 19, p. 239; 1, v. 1, p. 170]: "He saw the Prophet (PBUH) when he was a young child. He heard it from 'Alī", and quoted 2 narrations related to him. One of them is the narration of his birth from his mother, and the other is the narration of Abu *Isḥaq as-Sabī 'iy* from *Abul-Malīk al-Ashja 'iy*. In this narration: "I was sitting with *Muḥammad b. Ḥātib*. Then he said: "The Messenger of God (PBUH) said: "I see a land with date palms" [6, v. 1, p. 17]. In this place, although the narrator is considered one of the Companions, he did not narrate any hadith from *Muḥammad* (PBUH) because he saw him when he was young.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī about the narrator Muḥammad b. 'Abdullāh b. Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Abū Bakr as-Siddīg: "The surname of his grandfather Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Rahmān: Abū 'Atīa, Ourashī. He reached Nabī (PBUH)" [6, v. 1, p. 128]. Here it is shown that the person who succeeded the Prophet (PBUH), his grandfather Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān. In the narration of Abū Nu 'aim from Mūsā b. 'Uqba, 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abū Bakr as-Siddīq and his son Muhammad are mentioned as two of the four people who were not known to be companions [1, v. 2, p. 171]. Ibn Ḥibbān pointed out that he was a Companion [12, v. 3, p. 366]. So, there is a conflicting opinion among muhaddiths regarding whether Muḥammad b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān is one of the Companions or not, Al-Imām al-Bukhārī and Ibn Hibbān considered him to be a Companion, and Hākim an-Naysābūrī considered him a narrator whose companionship is not clear.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī said about the narrator Aswad b. Khalaf b. 'Abd Yāghūs: "They reached the Prophet (PBUH)" [6, v. 1, p. 444]. After that, he quoted the hadith of Muḥammad b. Aswad on the authority of his father. In it, Aswad came to the Prophet (PBUH) on the day of al-Fath (Conquest), when people were pledging allegiance, and he saw him. In this narration, it is mentioned that the sufficient condition for one to be considered one of the Companions is to see the Prophet (PBUH) as a muslim. Here the verb adraka (to reach) is given as a synonym with the verb raā (to see). Ibn al-Qān is mention [11, v. 1, p. 19] of him among the Companions also supports the above opinion.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī said about the narrator Ḥabis b. S'ad aṭ-Ṭāī: "He reached the Prophet (PBUH)" [6, v. 3, p. 108]. Muḥaddiths such as Ibn S'ad, Ibn Ḥibbān and Ibn Ḥajar mentioned this



ISRA (India) = 6.317SIS (USA) = 0.912ICV (Poland) = 6.630PIF (India) **ISI** (Dubai, UAE) = **1.582** = 1.940**РИНЦ** (Russia) = **3.939 GIF** (Australia) = 0.564ESJI (KZ) = 8.771IBI (India) =4.260= 1.500**SJIF** (Morocco) = **7.184** OAJI (USA) = 0.350

narrator among the Companions [4, v. 7, p. 431; 12, v. 3, p. 94; 8, v. 4, p. 32;3, v. 1, p. 27], which means that they agree with *Al-Imām al-Bukhārī* on the issue of the companionship of this narrator.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī said about the narrator Saʿid b. ʿĀmir b. Ḥuzaim al-Jumaḥī: "He reached the Prophet (PBUH)" [6, v. 3, p. 453]. Ibn Ḥibbān, Ibn al-Qānʿi, aṭ-Tabarānī, and Abū Nuʿaim mentioned [9, v. 4, p. 48; 12, v. 3, p. 155; 8, v. 6, p. 58; 11, v. 1, p. 263] him among the Companions. Therefore, this narrator is also considered a companion.

Al-Imām al-Bukhārī said about the narrator Sāib b. Aqrā al-Thaqafī: "He is a companion (lahu ṣuḥba). He reached an-Nabī (PBUH). Muḥammad (PBUH) stroked his head" [6, v. 3, p. 101]. In this place, Imam Bukhari mentioned the companionship of the narrator separately and that he reached the Prophet (PBUH). About this narrator, Ibn Abū Ḥātim and Ibn Ḥibbān also reported this narration [9, v. 3, p. 240; 12, v. 3, p. 155].

In the biography of *Sunain Abū Jamila*: "He reached the Prophet (PBUH)... *Abū Jamila* reached the Prophet (PBUH) and went on *ghazas* (battles) with him in the year of *al-Fath* (Conquest)" [6, v. 4, p. 209]. *Ibn Abū Ḥātim, Ibn Ḥibbān* and *Abū Nuʿaym* mentioned this narrator as one of the Companions, while *Ibn Sʿad* and *al-ʿIjlī* mentioned him as one of the *tābʿūn* (followers) [9, v. 5, p. 63; 12, v. 3, p. 179; 1, v. 3, p. 1434; 7, p. 208].

In the biography of *Shaqīq b. Salama*: "He came to the Prophet (PBUH), but did not hear anything from him"[6, v. 4, p. 245]. Other *muhaddiths* have mentioned that this narrator did not see the Prophet (PBUH) and did not narrate anything.

In the biography of *Farqad*: "He reached *Nabī* (PBUH). He said: "I saw Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) and ate from his table"[6, v. 7, p. 130]. *Ibn Abū Ḥātim* also commented on this narration [9, v. 7, p. 81]. However, he did not mention *Farqad*'s narration. And cited that *Abū Ḥātim* also supported this faction.

In the biography of *Mālik b. Zāhir*: "They reached the Prophet (PBUH). *Saʿīd b. Abū Shamr* narrated a hadith from him" [6, v. 7, p. 304].

In the biography of Maḥmūd b. ar-Rabī'i al-

Khazrajī al-Anṣārī: "He reached an-Nabī (PBUH)" [6, v. 3, p. 482]. After that, he brought the information narrated by az-Zuhrī's isnād. Imam Bukhari also mentions this narration in "aṣ-Ṣahih" [5, v. 1, p. 26]. For example, it is mentioned that when Maḥmūd was 5 years old, an-Nabī (PBUH) took water from a bucket and splashed it on his face. Based on this narration, Ibn Abū 'Āthim, Ibn al-Oān'i and Abū Nu 'aim mentioned Mahmūd among the companions. Abū Hātim mentions Mahmūd not as the owner of *şuḥba* (companionship) [11, v. 3, p. 117; 1, v. 5, p. 2523], but as the possessor of ru'ya (vision) [9, v. 8, p. 289; 7, v. 5, p. 2523] due to the fact that he was 5 years old when he reached the Prophet (PBUH). So, in this place, Imam Bukhari included him among the Companions based on the fact that the narrator saw Muḥammad (PBUH). Abū Ḥātim ar-Rāzī noted that he was underage when he saw the Prophet (PBUH).

In the biography of 'Amr b. Salama Abū Yazīd al-Jarmī: "Abū Qulāba and Ayyūb narrated from him. He reached the time of the Prophet (PBUH)" [6, v. 6, p. 313].

Based on the above, it can be said that $Al\text{-}Im\bar{a}m$ $al\text{-}Bukh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ in " $at\text{-}Ta'r\bar{\imath}kh$ $al\text{-}Kab\bar{\imath}r$ " emphasized the importance of distinguishing the Companions from other narrators. For example, expressions such as $lah\bar{u}$ suhba, and $s\bar{a}hibun\text{-}Nab\bar{\imath}yyi$, derived from the verb sahiba, were used only in relation to the companions.

Sami'a is also used in the work to show that the narrator is one of the companions, and it is used to show that the companion not only saw the Prophet (PBUH), but also heard his words.

The word $ra\bar{a}$ is also used to indicate that the narrator may not have heard the hadith from the *sheikh* he is narrating while he himself has seen it, and in some places, it is used to state that the main condition of companionship is found.

Although Imam Bukhari used the word *adraka* to indicate that some of the narrators reached *an-Nabīyyi* (PBUH) and entered the ranks of the Companions, it represents a lower level than *sami'a*. After all, this word was used in the biographies of people who had doubts about their companionship status or who had reached it but did not hear their words.

References:

- (1998). Abū Nu aim, Aḥmad b. Abdullāh al-Iṣbaḥānī. Marifa aṣ-ṣaḥaba, ar-Riyādh: Dār alwatan
- 2. (1895). adh-Dhahabī, Muḥammad b. Aḥmad. Tajrīd asmā aṣ-ṣaḥāba, Hyderābad: Dhāka.
- (1994). al-Asqalānī, Aḥmad b. ʿAlī b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Ḥajar. Al-Iṣāba fī
- *tamyīz aṣ-ṣahāba*, Beīrūt: Dār al-kutub al-'ilmīya.
- (1990). al-Baghdādī, Muḥammad b. S'ad. Tabaqāt al-kubrā, Beīrūt: Dār al-kutub al-'ilmīya.
- 5. (2001). al-Bukhārī, Muḥammad b. 'Ismāīl. al-Jām'i aṣ-ṣaḥiḥ, Beīrūt: Dār ṭūq an-najāt.



ISRA (India)	= 6.317	SIS (USA)	= 0.912	ICV (Poland)	= 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE	E) = 1.582	РИНЦ (Russ	ia) = 3.939	PIF (India)	= 1.940
GIF (Australia)	= 0.564	ESJI (KZ)	= 8.771	IBI (India)	= 4.260
JIF	= 1.500	SJIF (Moroco	(co) = 7.184	OAJI (USA)	= 0.350

- 6. (n.d.). *al-Bukhārī*, *Muḥammad b. ʿIsmāīl. At-Taʾrīkh al-Kabīr*, Hyderābād: Dāira al-maʿārif al-ʿuthmānīya, year of publication not indicated.
- 7. (1984). al-'Ijlī, Aḥmad b. 'Abdullāh b. Ṣāliḥ. Tarikh ath-thiqāt bi tartīb al-Haithamī, Beīrūt: Dār al-kutub al-'ilmīya.
- 8. (1994). aṭ-Ṭabarānī, Sulaīmān b. Aḥmad b. Ayyūb. Al-M'ujam al-kabīr, Cairo.
- 9. (1953). Ibn Abū Ḥātim, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. Munzir at-Tamīmī al-

- *Khanzalī ar-Rāzī. Al-Jarḥ wat-t ʿadīl*, Beīrūt: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmīya.
- 10. (1991). *Ibn Abū 'Aṣim. Al-Āhād wal-Mathānī*, ar-Riyādh: Dār ar-rāya.
- 11. (1997). *Ibn al-Qān i, Abulḥusaīn ʿAbd al-Bāqī. Mūjam aṣ-ṣaḥaba*, ar-Riyādh: Maktaba al-ghurābāi al-atharīya.
- 12. (n.d.). *Ibn Ḥibbān, Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Bustī. Ath-Thiqāt,* Beīrūt: Dār al-fikr.

