

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
PIIHQ (Russia) = 3.939  
ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
PIF (India) = 1.940  
IBI (India) = 4.260  
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

SOI: [1.1/TAS](#) DOI: [10.15863/TAS](#)

## International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science

p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online)

Year: 2023 Issue: 06 Volume: 122

Published: 09.06.2023 <http://T-Science.org>

Issue

Article



**Gulruksor Sobirjonovna Zakiriyayeva**

Navoi State Mining and Technological University

Master student of the Department of Mechanical Engineering Technology, Navoi, Uzbekistan

**Rashid Olimovich Muminov**

Navoi State Mining and Technological University

Docent of the department Mechanical Engineering, Navoi, Uzbekistan

[rashid\\_81@mail.ru](mailto:rashid_81@mail.ru)

## RESEARCH METHODS OF EFFICIENCY OF REPAIR AND OPERATION OF SCREW-CUTTING MACHINE

**Abstract:** The article considers the analysis and proposes methods for the effectiveness of the use of screw-cutting lathes. And also, the research of screw-cutting lathes was carried out on the basis of an analysis of operation. Existing methods for evaluating the effectiveness of the use of metal-cutting machines are considered, methods for calculating the return on assets, as the most important indicator, are analyzed.

**Key words:** efficiency, organizational and technical indicator, load factor, equipment productivity, cutting forces, cutting speed, cutting coefficient, tensile strength, tension, area, cross section, cut layer.

**Language:** English

**Citation:** Zakiriyayeva, G. S., & Muminov, R. O. (2023). Research methods of efficiency of repair and operation of screw-cutting machine. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 06 (122), 57-63.

**Soi:** <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/TAS-06-122-12> **Doi:**  <https://dx.doi.org/10.15863/TAS.2023.06.122.12>

**Scopus ASCC:** 2200.

### Introduction

Efficiency measurement is based on a comparison of costs (time, money) and results. At present, following the established tradition, the effectiveness of the use of screw-cutting lathes is evaluated by well-known indicators, such as reducing the cost of products, payback period, and others, they also use an organizational and technical indicator - the equipment load factor. But the assessment of efficiency is not reliable, since there is no accounting for the use of the technological capabilities of a screw-cutting lathe. With a high load factor of a screw-cutting lathe, there are no guarantees about the efficiency of using the machine, since this may be the result of a high-speed machine operated at low cutting conditions, limited by the durability of the tool available at the enterprise. As a result, screw-cutting lathes, which allow the manufacture of very complex parts, are often used to process fairly simple parts. Return on assets is one of the performance indicators, which can be assessed using the complexity theory [2,5].

Complexity theory Sharina Yu.S. offers a formula for evaluating the effectiveness of use - the formula

$$T = \frac{c}{B \cdot \alpha}$$

Formula for finding the complexity of a part - formula

$$C = 0.02_n \cdot K_P \cdot K_M \cdot K_{ncn} \cdot K_r \cdot K_B$$

According to Yu.S. Sharin,  $\alpha$  can only be equal to 0 (when the part is erroneously correlated with the machine) or 1 (when the processing method and the machine correspond to each other). It should be taken into account that the coefficient  $\alpha$  can also be in the interval between them, while it can be defined in more detail than originally, namely, as the coefficient of realization of the technological capabilities of the machine [3,8].

Technological capabilities B is the performance of the equipment for a given quality of product processing, expressed in units of complexity per minute. More productive equipment has a value of B higher than less productive. In this case, the technological possibilities, i.e. performance, act as the

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
 ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
 GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
 JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
 ПИИИ (Russia) = 3.939  
 ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
 SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
 PIF (India) = 1.940  
 IBI (India) = 4.260  
 OAJI (USA) = 0.350

most important qualimetric characteristics of CNC machines [4,10].

The value of B according to the presented formula by Yu.S. Sharin is an integral indicator that can characterize the machine, taking into account its various technical characteristics. Consider obtaining an estimate of the magnitude of complexity, using the same method we will try to determine the productivity of the machine according to Yu.S. Sharin [6,11].

Let's start from the Granovsky criterion - the formula  $V = v \cdot s \cdot t \frac{mm^3}{min}$  parameter B can be estimated as the maximum volume of metal cut on the machine per unit time - the formula

$$B = v_{max} \cdot S_{max} \cdot t_{max}, \quad (1):$$

where  $v_{max}$  is the maximum cutting speed for this machine, m/min;

$S_{max}$  is the maximum feed value for this machine, mm/rev;

$t_{max}$  is the maximum depth of cut for this machine, mm.

As you know, cutting power is formula (2):

$$N_{cut} = \frac{P_{zv}}{1020 \cdot 60} \quad (2)$$

where  $N_{cut}$  is the tangential component of the cutting force  $P, N$ ; ( $P_z = (0.96 \div 0.99)P$ );

$v$  – cutting speed, m/min.

In turn, according to - formula (3):

$$P_z = k \cdot \sigma \cdot f, \quad (3)$$

where  $k$  is the cutting factor, depending on the structural state and other properties of the metal being processed;

$\sigma$  is the tensile strength of the treated metal, Mpa;

$f$  is the cross-sectional area of the cut layer, m:

$$f = s \cdot t, \quad (4)$$

where  $s$  is the feed, mm/rev;

$t$  is the depth of cut, mm.

Based on formulas (1) - (4) we can deduce:

$$N = \frac{k \cdot \sigma \cdot s \cdot t \cdot v}{1020 \cdot 60} \quad (5)$$

$$t = \frac{1020 \cdot 60 \cdot N}{k \cdot \sigma \cdot s} \quad (6)$$

Since the maximum cutting power  $N_{max}$  is – formula (7):

$$N_{max} = Nst \cdot \eta, \quad (7)$$

where  $Nst$  – power,  $W$ ;

$\eta$  – efficiency of the main drive of the machine.

Then – formula (8):

$$t_{max} = \frac{1020 \cdot 60 \cdot N_{ct} \cdot \eta}{k \cdot \sigma \cdot s_{min}^{\rho} \cdot v_{min}^{\rho}} \sim \frac{N_{ct}}{s_{min}^{\rho} \cdot v_{min}^{\rho}} \quad (9)$$

where –  $s_{min}^{\rho}$  the smallest calculated feed rate, mm/min;

$v_{min}^{\rho}$  – the lowest calculated value of cutting speed, m/min.

But it is necessary to take into account formulas (10) and (11), that:

$$v_{min}^{\rho} = \frac{\pi \cdot D_{min} \cdot n_{min}^{\rho}}{1000} \quad (10)$$

$$v_{max} = \frac{\pi \cdot D_{max} \cdot n_{max}}{1000} \quad (11)$$

where  $D_{min}$  is the smallest diameter of parts processed on the machine, mm;

$D_{max}$  – the largest diameter of parts processed on the machine, mm;

$n_{min}^{\rho}$  – the lowest calculated speed of the machine spindle, rpm;

$n_{max}$  – the highest passport speed of the machine spindle, rpm. Formula (11) follows from the above:

$$B = \frac{D_{max} \cdot n_{max} \cdot S_{max} \cdot N_{ct}}{D_{min} \cdot n_{min}^{\rho} \cdot S_{min}} \quad (11)$$

Based on it can be assumed that formulas (12) and (13):

$$n_{min}^{\rho} = n_{min} \sqrt[4]{\frac{n_{max}}{n_{min}}} \quad (12)$$

$$S_{min}^{\rho} = S_{min} \sqrt[4]{\frac{S_{max}}{S_{min}}} \quad (13)$$

where  $n_{min}$  – the lowest spindle speed indicated in the machine passport, rpm;

$S_{min}$  – the smallest feed indicated in the passport of the machine, mm / min.

In accordance with formula (14):

$$\frac{D_{max}}{D_{min}} \approx 8.5 \quad (14)$$

Considering the latter, we can summarize – formula (15)

$$B \sim 8.5 \frac{n_{max} \cdot S_{max} \cdot N_{ct}}{n_{min}^{\rho} \cdot S_{min}^{\rho}} \quad (15)$$

The technological capabilities of the machine are also affected by the type of CNC system, the dimensions of the machine, the presence of a monitoring and diagnostic system, automatic changeover systems for the lathe chuck and other devices available on the machine, the ability to carry out roughing and finishing on the machine, the number of tools simultaneously installed on the machine, the availability driven tools. Therefore, it is necessary to supplement the obtained formula (15) with coefficients that take into account the influence of these factors. Formula (16) [1,2,3,4,5,7,12]:

$$B = M \frac{n_{max} \cdot S_{max} \cdot N_{ct}}{n_{min}^{\rho} \cdot S_{min}^{\rho}} \cdot K_{cy} \cdot K_{IH} \cdot K_{AK} \cdot K_{PC} \cdot K_{BC} \cdot K_{PII} \cdot K_{PII} \quad (16)$$

where  $M$  – proportionality factor;

$K_{cy}$  – coefficient characterizing the type of machine control system;

$K_{IH}$  – coefficient linking the technological capabilities of the machine. B with the number of tools  $z$  installed on the machine at the same time;

$K_{AK}$  – coefficient characterizing the presence on the machine of a system of automatic control of the dimensions of parts and diagnostics of the state of the tool;

$K_{PC}$  – coefficient characterizing the dimensions of the machine;

$K_{BC}$  – coefficient characterizing the type of processing;

$K_{PII}$  – coefficient characterizing the presence of driven tools;

$K_{PII}$  – coefficient characterizing the availability

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350

of means for automatic changeover of the cartridge and other devices.

For coefficients  $K_{CY}$ ,  $K_{AK}$ ,  $K_{ПИ}$ ,  $K_{ПП}$  using calculations, the data given below in table 1 were obtained.

When finding the coefficients  $K_{PC}$  and  $K_{BC}$  an analogy was made with the coefficients  $K_P$  and  $K_B$  in the formula for determining the complexity, then we

get the formula (17)

$$K_{PC} = \frac{D_{max} + L_{max}}{1200} \quad (17)$$

where  $D_{max}$  – maximum diameter of the part processed on the machine, mm:

$L_{max}$  – the greatest length of the detail processed on the machine, mm.

**Table 1 – Dependence of the coefficient on the conditions that determine the value**

Condition that determines the value of the coefficient				The numerical value of the coefficient
$K_{CY}$	$K_{AK}$	$K_{ПИ}$	$K_{ПП}$	
The machine is equipped with a contour control system	Availability of automatic control and diagnostics system	Availability of driven tools on the machine	Availability of automatic changeover devices	1,3
The machine is equipped with a positioning system	Lack of automatic control and diagnostics system	Lack of driven tools on the machine	Lack of automatic changeover devices	1

For coefficient  $K_{BC}$  the condition:

- $K_{BC} = 0,6$ , if the machine is designed for roughing only;
- $K_{BC} = 0,4$ , if the machine is intended for finishing only;
- $K_{BC} = 1$ , if the machine is designed for roughing and finishing.

To calculate the oil recovery factor in the course of the work carried out, the formula was derived (18):

$$K_{ИИ} = 2,43 - \frac{1,944}{z - 264}$$

Thus, in the formula for finding the technological capabilities of the machine, one unknown remains –  $M$ . The search for the indicator  $M$  was carried out together with the study of the parameter  $\alpha$ . Studies have been carried out on a number of NMMC plants that have many years of positive experience in operating CNC machines. During the survey, an analysis was made of the processing of parts of two hundred names on machines of twenty models. For each machine and the part processed on it, the program time  $T_i$  was measured, the complexity was calculated using the formula and

$$C = 0,02n \cdot K_p \cdot K_M \cdot K_{ИСП} \cdot K_T \cdot K_B$$

Formula

$$B = M \frac{n_{max} \cdot s_{max} \cdot N_{CT}}{n_{min} \cdot s_{min}} \cdot K_{CY} \cdot K_{ИИ} \cdot K_{AK} \cdot K_{PC} \cdot$$

$K_{BC} \cdot K_{ПИ} \cdot K_{ПП}$  magnitude  $B'$  - formula (19):

$$B' = \frac{B}{M} \quad (19)$$

After according to the formula (20):

$$T = \frac{c}{\alpha \cdot M \cdot B'} \quad (20)$$

and the same parts processed on different machines were considered as different. As we considered above, the value of the parameter  $\alpha$  can be from 0 to 1, it was assumed that in the largest  $\alpha$   $M$  the factor  $\alpha$  is equal to 1. Then the product  $\alpha \cdot M$  can be considered equal to  $M$ . Thus,  $M = 1.895 \cdot 10^{-8}$  was obtained. Dividing all values of  $\alpha \cdot M$  by  $M$ , we get all the values of  $\alpha$ .

When testing statistical hypotheses about the law of the probability distribution of the values of the parameter  $\alpha$ , it showed that the specified distribution obeys the Rayleigh law with a confidence of 0.95 – the formula (21):

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{0.0736} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{0.1472}\right) \quad (21)$$

The most probable value  $\alpha = 0.26$ , and the arithmetic mean  $\alpha = 0.34$ . These values correspond to the implementation of the technological capabilities of machine tools in enterprises, that is, they are most often implemented by 26%, and on average by 34%. It should be noted and taken into account that the data were obtained at factories with extensive experience in operating CNC machines, which means that they are able to use them more rationally than new enterprises.

Using Internet resources, a sample of 15 was made to calculate and analyze indicators of technological capabilities and return on assets.

Let's consider their technical characteristics below – table 2, after each table, the calculation of technological capabilities – parameter  $B$  will be made.

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350

**Table 2 – Technical characteristics of the screw-cutting lathe**

Screw-cutting lathe	
Parameter	Parameter
1	2
Machine price	
Machine type	cartridge center
Max RPM, $n_{max}$	2500 rpm
Minimum speed, $n_{min}$	20 rpm
Maximum feed, $s_{max}$	5000 mm/min
Minimum feed, $s_{min}$	0,04 mm/rev 0.8 mm/min

We find the lowest calculated frequency by the formula (22):

$$n_{min}^p = 20^4 \sqrt{\frac{2500}{20}} = 66,874 \frac{об}{мин} \quad (22)$$

We find the smallest calculated feed using the formula (23):

$$s_{min}^p = 0,8^4 \sqrt{\frac{5000}{0,8}} = 7,113 \frac{об}{мин} \quad (23)$$

We will find the coefficient of the control system according to table 1:  $K_{cy} = 1,3$  – contour control system.

The coefficient of the simultaneously installed tool  $K_{ин}$  is found by the formula (24):

$$K_{ин} = 2,43 - \frac{1,944}{z-2,64} \quad (24)$$

We find the coefficient of availability of automatic control according to table 1:  $K_{ак} = 1,3$  – availability of an automatic control system.

Coefficient of machined dimensions on the machine  $K_{pc}$  find by formula (17):

$$K_{pc} = \frac{1000 + 360}{1200} = 1,133.$$

The coefficient of the type of processing on the machine  $K_{bc} = 1$  – for roughing and finishing. The coefficient of the presence of driven tools can be found from table 1:  $K_{пн} = 1$  – lack of driven tools on the machine.

We find the coefficient of availability of automatic changeover of the cartridge according to table 1:  $K_{пп} = 1,3$  – the presence of automatic readjustment of devices. Calculate the value of B by the formula (19): The coefficient of the type of processing on the machine  $K_{bc} = 1$  – for roughing and finishing. The coefficient of the presence of driven tools can be found from table 1:  $K_{пн} = 1$  – lack of driven tools on the machine [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12].

We find the coefficient of availability of automatic changeover of the cartridge according to

table 1:  $K_{пп} = 1,3$  – the presence of automatic readjustment of devices.

We calculate the value of B by the formula (16):  
 $B = 1,895 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \frac{2500 \cdot 5000 \cdot 5,5}{66,874 \cdot 7,113} \cdot 1,3 \cdot 1,851 \cdot 1,3 \cdot 0,667 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1,3 = 1261,934 \cdot 10^{-5}$  units sl/min

Table 3 - Technical characteristics of turning. Similarly to the previous calculations, we find by the formulas (12) -  $n_{min}^p$  and (13)  $s_{min}^p$ :

$$n_{min}^p = 40^4 \sqrt{\frac{2200}{40}} = 108,93 \frac{об}{мин};$$

$$s_{min}^p = 1,6^4 \sqrt{\frac{11000}{1,6}} = 14,569 \frac{об}{мин};$$

Coefficient of the control system according to table 1:  $K_{cy} = 1,3$  – contour control system. Coefficient of the simultaneously installed tool  $K_{ин}$  – formula (24):

$$K_{ин} = 2,43 - \frac{1,944}{4 - 2,64} = 1.$$

Coefficient of availability of automatic control according to table 1:  $K_{ак} = 1,3$  – availability of an automatic control system.

Coefficient of machined dimensions on the machine  $K_{pc}$  – formula (23):

The coefficient of the type of processing on the machine  $K_{bc} = 1$  – for roughing and finishing. Coefficient of the presence of driven tools according to table 1:  $K_{пн} = 1$  – lack of driven tools on the machine.

Coefficient of availability of automatic changeover of the cartridge according to the table 1:  $K_{пп} = 1,3$  – the presence of automatic readjustment of devices;

Let us calculate the value of B by formula (22):

$$B = 1,895 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \frac{2200 \cdot 11000 \cdot 11}{108,93 \cdot 14,569} \cdot 1,3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1,3 \cdot 3,167 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1,3 = 2211,7 \cdot 10^{-5}$$
 units sl/min

**Table 3 - Technical characteristics of the machine NT-250**

Screw-cutting lathe NT-250	
Parameter	Meaning
Цена станка	Sum
Machine type	cartridge center

## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317	SIS (USA) = 0.912	ICV (Poland) = 6.630
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582	ПИИЦ (Russia) = 3.939	PIF (India) = 1.940
GIF (Australia) = 0.564	ESJI (KZ) = 8.771	IBI (India) = 4.260
JIF = 1.500	SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184	OAJI (USA) = 0.350

Max RPM, $n_{\max}$	2000 rpm
Minimum speed, $n_{\min}$	20 rpm
Maximum feed, $s_{\max}$	12 mm/rev 24000 mm/min
Minimum feed, $s_{\min}$	0.039 mm/rev = 0.78 mm/min
Power, $N_{\text{ст}}$	7,5 kW
Max Machinable Length, $L_{\max}$	1500 mm
Maximum machined diameter, $D_{\max}$	500 mm
Number of simultaneously installed tools, $z$	4
Control System Coefficient, $K_{\text{CY}}$	1.3
Tool ratio, $K_{\text{ИН}}$	1
Coefficient of availability of automatic control, $K_{\text{АК}}$	1.3
Coefficient of machined dimensions on the machine, $K_{\text{PC}}$	1.667
Processing type factor, $K_{\text{BC}}$	1
Driven Tool Availability Factor, $K_{\text{ПТ}}$	1
The coefficient of availability of automatic changeover of the cartridge, $K_{\text{ПП}}$	1.3

Similarly to the previous calculations, we find by the formulas (12) -  $n_{\min}^p$  and (13) -  $s_{\min}^p$ :

$$n_{\min}^p = 20^4 \sqrt{\frac{2000}{20}} = 63,246 \text{ rpm};$$

$$s_{\min}^p = 0,78^4 \sqrt{\frac{24000}{0,78}} = 10,331 \text{ rpm};$$

Table Control Coefficient 1:  $K_{\text{CY}} = 1,3$  – contour control system. Coefficient of the simultaneously installed tool  $K_{\text{ИН}}$  – formula (24):

$$K_{\text{ИН}} = 2,43 - \frac{1,944}{4 - 2,64} = 1.$$

The coefficient of the presence of automatic control according to Table 1:  $K_{\text{АК}} = 1,3$  – the presence of an automatic control system.

The coefficient of processed dimensions on the machine –  $K_{\text{PC}}$  formula (23):

$$K_{\text{PC}} = \frac{3000 + 800}{1200} = 3,167.$$

The coefficient of the type of processing on the machine  $K_{\text{BC}} = 1$  – for roughing and finishing. Factor

of availability of driven tools according to table 1:  $K_{\text{ПТ}} = 1$  – lack of driven tools on the machine.

Coefficient of availability of automatic changeover of the cartridge according to the table 1:  $K_{\text{ПП}} = 1,3$  – the presence of automatic readjustment of devices;

Let us calculate the value of B by formula (22):

$$B = 1,895 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \frac{2000 \cdot 24000 \cdot 11}{63,246 \cdot 10,331} \cdot 1,3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1,3 \cdot 1,667 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1,3 = 2941,431 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ units sl/min}$$

Let's turn to our sample of machines that we will use to calculate and analyze the indicator of technological capabilities and the indicator of capital productivity

According to the analysis and calculation in the course of the research work, we see that the price of the machine is not directly proportional to its technological capabilities - Table 5, in contrast to a direct relationship.

**Table 4 - Calculation of technological capabilities for a sample of machines**

Machine brand	$B \cdot 105$	$\Pi_c$
Screw-cutting lathe	1261,93	176413055.76
Screw-cutting lathe 16K20	2211,66	453629135,16
Screw-cutting lathe NT-250	2941,43	189436311,36

Therefore, we cannot calculate the pricing coefficient and we will carry out the calculation without deducting depreciation charges, that is, using the original price.

### Conclusions.

In the article, an analysis was carried out and methods for the effectiveness of the use of screw-cutting lathes were proposed. The study of screw-

cutting lathes was carried out on the basis of an analysis of operation over the past 17 years. Existing methods for evaluating the effectiveness of the use of metal-cutting machines are considered, methods for calculating the return on assets, as the most important indicator, are analyzed. In turn, productivity is a factor that determines the value of capital productivity. Efficiency indicators for the use of machine tools were developed based on the theory of Yu.S. Sharina. The



## Impact Factor:

ISRA (India) = 6.317  
ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582  
GIF (Australia) = 0.564  
JIF = 1.500

SIS (USA) = 0.912  
PIIHQ (Russia) = 3.939  
ESJI (KZ) = 8.771  
SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184

ICV (Poland) = 6.630  
PIF (India) = 1.940  
IBI (India) = 4.260  
OAJI (USA) = 0.350

assessment takes place according to the indicator of the technological capabilities of the machine - the value of  $v$ , which characterizes the machine, taking into account its various technical parameters.

According to the studies, it was revealed that the implementation of the technological capabilities of machine tools at enterprises is carried out on average by 34%.

## References:

1. Muminov, R.O., & Boynazarov, G. G. (2020). Analysis of dynamic and hardness parameters rotation and feeding systems of the drilling rig. SOI: 1.1/TAS DOI: 10.15863/TAS *International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science* p-ISSN: 2308-4944 (print) e-ISSN: 2409-0085 (online) Year: 2020 Issue: 11 Volume: 91 Published: 05.11.2020 <http://T-Science.org>
2. Kantovich, L.I., Kozlov, S.V., & Muminov, R.O. (2011). Substantiation and selection of parameters of the rotary - feeding mechanism of a quarry drilling rig. *GIAB*, No. 5, Moscow: publishing house "Gornaya kniga", pp. 225 - 229.
3. Muminov, R.O. (2012). *Justification and selection of dynamic parameters of the rotary-falling mechanism of the open-pit drilling rig*. Ph.D. thesis tech. nauk. (p.115). Moscow.
4. Kantovich, L.I., Kozlov, S.V., & Muminov R.O. (2011). Substantiation and selection of parameters of the rotary - feeding mechanism of a quarry drilling rig. *GIAB*, No. 5, Moscow: publishing house "Gornaya kniga", 2011, pp. 225 - 229.
5. Kantovich, L.I., Poderny, R. Yu., & Muminov, R.O. (2010). The influence of the parameters of the rotary - feeding mechanism of the drilling rig on its productivity. *GIAB*, No. 11, Moscow: publishing house "Gornaya kniga", pp. 396 - 399.
6. Kuziev, D.A., Zotov, V.V., Sazankovaa, E.S., & Muminov, R.O. (2022). Performability of electro-hydro-mechanical rotary head of drill rig in open pit mining: A case-study. *Eurasian Mining*, 2022, 37(1), pp. 76-80. DOI: 10.17580/em.2022.01.16.
7. Sherov, K.T., Mardonov, B.T., Zharkevich, O.M., Mirgorodskiy, S., Gabdyssalyk, R., Tussupova, S.O., Smakova, N., Akhmedov, Kh.I., & Imanbaev, Y.B. (2020). Studying the process of tooling cylindrical gears. *Journal of Applied Engineering (JAES) Science*, Vol. 18 No. 3 (2020), pp. 327-332. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5937/jaes18-23794>
8. Goltsev, A.G., Kurmangaliyev, T.B., Sherov, K.T., Sikhimbayev, M.R., Absadykov, B.N., Mardonov, B.T., & Yessirkepova, A.B. (2020). Aligning method of structures during installation in vertical plane / *News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of geology and technical sciences*. 2020. Volume 5, Number 443 (2020), pp. 63 - 70. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2020.2518-170X.105>
9. Muminov, R.O., Rajhanova, G.E., & Kuziev, D.A. (2021). Povyshenie nadezhnosti i dolgovechnosti burovnykh stankov za schet ponizheniya dinamicheskikh nagruzok. *Ugol*. 2021. № 5, pp. 32-36. DOI: 10.18796/0041-5790-2021- 5-32-36.
10. Sherov, K.T., Mardonov, B.T., Kurmangaliyev, T.B., Elemes, D.E., Tusupova, S.O., Izotova, A.S., Smakova, N.S., Gabdysalik, R., & Buzauova, T.M. (2020). The research of micro-hardness of side surfaces of teeth cylindrical wheels processed by "shaver-rolling device". *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics*, Sofia, Vol. 50 No.1 (2020), pp.50-56.
11. Yusupbekov, N.R., Mukhitdinov, D.P., Kadyrov, Yo.B., Sattarov, O.U., & Samadov, A.R. (2023). *Modern systems of control of complex dynamic technological processes (by the example of nitric acid production)*. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2023, 2612, 050008.
12. Yusupbekov, N.R., Mukhitdinov, D.P., & Sattarov, O.U. (2021). *Neural Network Model for Adaptive Control of Nonlinear Dynamic Object Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 2021, 1323 AISC, pp. 229-236.
13. Egamberdiev, I. P., et al. (2020). Research of vibration processes of bearing units of mining equipment. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, 2020, T. 9, №. 5, pp. 7789-7793.
14. Karimov, N. K., et al. (2020). *Povyshenie udarnoy vjazkosti konstrukcionnykh stalej termociklicheskoj obrabotkoj*. Estestvennye i tehnicheckie nauki: problemy transdisciplinarnogo sinteza, pp. 40-43.
15. Ibragimov, A. A., et al. (2017). Interval'no-analiticheskie metody reshenija polnoj i chastichnoj problemy sobstvennykh znachenij. *Sovremennye tehnologii: aktual'nye voprosy, dostizhenija i innovacii*, 2017, pp. 16-22.

<b>Impact Factor:</b>	<b>ISRA (India) = 6.317</b>	<b>SIS (USA) = 0.912</b>	<b>ICV (Poland) = 6.630</b>
	<b>ISI (Dubai, UAE) = 1.582</b>	<b>PIHII (Russia) = 3.939</b>	<b>PIF (India) = 1.940</b>
	<b>GIF (Australia) = 0.564</b>	<b>ESJI (KZ) = 8.771</b>	<b>IBI (India) = 4.260</b>
	<b>JIF = 1.500</b>	<b>SJIF (Morocco) = 7.184</b>	<b>OAJI (USA) = 0.350</b>

---

16. Jegamberdiev, I. P., Jahshiev, Sh. N., & Mamadiyarov, A. Zh. (2021). *Prognozirovanie tehničeskogo sostojanija podshipnikovyh opor metallozhushhih stankov po spektral`nym harakteristikam*. Sostav redakcionnoj kollegii i organizacionnogo komiteta.
17. Yaxshiyev, S. N., Kh, K. A., & Mamadiyarov, A.J. (n.d.). Dynamics of Spindle Assembly of Metal-Cutting Machine. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, pp. 2249-8958.
18. Jahshiev, Sh. N., et al. (2021). Formirovanie vibroakusticheskogo signala v podshipnikovyh oporah metallozhushhih stankov. *European science forum*, 2021, pp. 19-23.
19. Ashurov, K., et al. (2020). Applications metallographic and X-ray structural analysis. *Studencheskij vestnik*, №. 20-14, pp. 19-21.